

No.	Question	Ultrafast Fibre Limited Response
1	Do you agree that the UFB initiative POIs are those POIs that were implemented to satisfy the single POI per Candidate Area requirement under the NIPAs?	<p>Yes, subject to the following clarifications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The term “<i>single POI per Candidate Area</i>” is not quite accurate. While we agree with the interpretation that every End Users can accessible from a single POI (clause 27), we would like the clarification added that there may be multiple POIs per Candidate Area to provide redundancy/diversity. Each of these satisfy the definition in clause 27. 2) The POI serving a single Candidate Area may be located within another Candidate Area and not every Candidate Area contains a POI. For example, for Ultrafast Fibre Ltd, the Tokoroa Candidate Area is served from our Hamilton POIs and the Hawera Candidate Area is served from our New Plymouth POI. In both of these cases, every End User is accessible from a single POI, that is, the New Plymouth POI provides access to both Hawera and New Plymouth.
2	Do you agree that the UFB initiative POIs are limited to POIs at layer 2 handover points?	Yes.
3	Is the list of UFB initiative POIs and their associated details in Attachment A complete and correct?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) For Ultrafast Fibre Ltd, remove CAM, TAW, TOK and HAW as these Candidate Areas are served from either the Hamilton or New Plymouth POIs. 2) Cambridge and Te Awamutu are part of the Hamilton Candidate Area.
4	Do you agree with our proposal in paragraph 34 above? That is, for each specified POI, to specify that its related end-user premises, buildings, or other access points are those that are within the POIs associated geographic area/s under the UFB initiative, plus any other end-user premises, buildings, or other access points that are associated with the POI.	We agree.

No.	Question	Ultrafast Fibre Limited Response
5	Are you aware of any end-user premises, buildings, or other access points for whom fibre fixed line access services are available but that are not, or cannot be, associated with a UFB initiative POI? If so, how should we treat these?	We are not aware of any end-user premises, buildings, or other access points for whom fibre fixed line access services are available but that are not, or cannot be, associated with a UFB initiative POI. We note that, with future network growth into a new area, additional (new) POIs may need to be built in existing Candidate Areas to service that new area.
6	Do you see any advantages in alternative approach of identifying related end-user premises, buildings, or other access points by reference to SFAs?	We are not aware of a better alternative approach based on our current understanding of SFAs and the publicly available information as at the date of this response.
7	In circumstances where there is a future extension to a fibre network outside of the UFB initiative, rather than specifying a new POI, should we specify that end-user premises, buildings, or other access points served by the new fibre network be related to an existing UFB initiative POI?	This restriction should only apply to Networks that will be part of the SFA. Small local networks should be able to define their own POI locations based on their own economic and feasibility assessment.
8	Are there any other details we should provide for each POI?	For clarification, clause 39.5 should allow multiple geographic areas to be served by a POI.
9	Are you aware of any UFB initiative POIs that are not in the list in Attachment A but will exist as at the close of 31 December 2019?	No.