Price Control Study of Airfield Activities



Critical Issues Paper 16 March 2001

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Pursuant to s 54 of the Commerce Act 1986 (the Commerce Act), the Minister of Commerce (the Minister) has required that the Commerce Commission (the Commission) report to him by 1 August 2002 on whether he should recommend the introduction of price control on airfield activities at any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports (the airports).¹
- 2. Given the s 54 Notice, the Commission is undertaking a price control study of airfield activities. As a result of its preliminary investigations, the Commission has identified what it considers to be the critical issues for the study. On 2 July 1999—prior to its reporting date being extended—the Commission released a Critical Issues Paper, A99/1, to assist parties in making their initial submissions. The Commission is now issuing this revised and updated Critical Issues Paper as the formal phase of its inquiry commences. Appendix 4 provides a summary of the revisions and cross references to A99/1.
- 3. The critical issues identified are broadly grouped into the following six areas:
 - What the Commission is being asked to contemplate—the definition of control
 Q 1-5
 under the Commerce Act.
 - The "conditions, tests or thresholds" that the Commission has to consider in order Q 6-14 to respond to the s 54 Notice.
 - Examining the activities covered by the terms of reference to determine the goods or services for which control could be recommended; namely those goods or services, if any, that are supplied or acquired in markets in which competition is limited or is likely to be lessened.
 - An assessment of whether control is necessary or desirable for any of the goods

 Q 25-84

 or services identified.
 - Whether control should be recommended. Q 85-90
 - Operation of any controls—where control is declared, how it would operate.

 Q 91-95

.

¹ The Commission was originally required to report to the Minister by 14 December 1999, but the reporting date was extended by the Minister on 29 July 1999.

PROCESS

4. The process for the Commission's inquiry was originally outlined in Information Paper A98/2 (3 August 1998). The only changes since the release of that paper have been with regard to the timetable for the formal consultation phase, and the decision by the Commission to release a Critical Issues Paper to assist parties in making initial submissions. Otherwise, the process outlined in A98/2 is still being followed.

Timetable

- 5. On 2 September 1999, the Commission published a Revised Timetable, A99/3, for the inquiry following the extension by the then Minister of Commerce and Enterprise of the Commission's reporting date to 1 August 2002. Subsequently, the Commission resolved to release a revised and updated Critical Issues Paper in early December 2000, and to extend the length of the conference.
- 6. On 31 October 2000, the Commission sought comment from interested parties on its paper, Proposed Amendments to Inquiry Timetable, A00/1. Two amendments were proposed involving the due date for experts' reports being moved to 27 April 2001, and the addition of the opportunity for interested parties and experts to make "cross" submissions by 18 May 2001 (the old date for experts' reports). Cross submissions allow interested parties and experts to make written comment on other submissions and experts' reports (in addition to the opportunity provided at the conference). No party objected to the Commission's proposals and the amendments to the timetable were made.
- 7. Subsequently, the Commission decided to delay the commencement of the formal phase of its inquiry by 3 months so as to ensure that parties were provided with reasonable opportunity to submit their views. The timetable for the remainder of the inquiry is as follows:

27 April 2001 Initial submissions due

8 June 2001 Commission's draft report issued²

20 July 2001 Submissions on draft report and experts' reports due

17 August 2001 Cross submissions due

4-7, 10 and 12-14 September 2001 Conference

2 November 2001 Commission aims to report to the Minister of Commerce
 1 August 2002 Date by which the Commission must report to the Minister.

² Dependent on anticipated amendments to the Commerce Act having been enacted.

-

8. Note that the Commission reserves the right to alter the timetable in the future, at its discretion, if it considers it to be necessary.

Guidelines on Submissions to the Commission

- 9. The Commission is required to report to the Minister and, in doing so, to give reasons for the recommendations it makes. It intends to examine critical issues from a neutral starting point. Parties are required to substantiate the submissions and evidence they place before the Commission. The Commission's recommendations will be based on its assessment of evidence presented and the facts established.
- 10. Submissions should be provided to the Commission in both hard copy and electronic forms where possible. Electronic copies of submissions should be either in Microsoft Word (".doc") and/or Portable Document Format (".pdf"). The documents should display information such as letterhead and date of the document. Electronic copies should either be e-mailed to the Commission at airports@comcom.govt.nz or provided on floppy disk. Copies of all public submissions will be sent to interested parties in hard paper form and will be able to be down-loaded from the Commission's website in electronic format.
- 11. The Commission recognises that parties may consider parts of their submissions to be commercially sensitive, or may have given undertakings to third parties to keep certain information confidential. However, any requests for confidentiality will not be accepted automatically. Information will only be kept confidential where it is genuinely confidential in nature. Information can be kept confidential either under the Official Information Act 1982 or section 100 of the Commerce Act. Requests for confidentiality will be considered in accordance with the Commission's confidentiality policy. Unless stated, the Commission will assume that a submission is public.
- 12. Where commercially sensitive information is considered to be contained in a document, that information should be clearly identified and enclosed in square brackets []. Interested parties should supply two versions of their submissions—one marked public and the other marked confidential. Public versions should highlight where confidential information has been deleted. Should interested parties wish to do so, they can provide the Commission with an electronic copy of their confidential submission (with confidential information in square brackets), and the Commission will then prepare a public version of the submission.

Conference

- 13. The Commerce Act requires the Commission to give interested persons reasonable opportunity to furnish their views. Interested parties are invited to attend a conference to be held over 8 days on 4-7, 10 and 12-14 September 2001 at the Overseas Terminal, Oriental Bay, Wellington. Prior to the conference, interested parties have the opportunity to make three written submissions and to provide reports by independent experts.
- 14. The conference will broadly follow the Commission's published *Conference Procedures* in relation to authorisations under the Commerce Act. However, issues such as order of speaking and format will be determined (and parties advised) nearer the time. The conference will give interested parties the opportunity to make oral submissions (summarising their arguments) before the Commission, and the Commission will have the opportunity to ask questions.

Access to Information

- 15. The Commission recognises that parties may have differing amounts of information on Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports, and in respect of the different aspects of the Commission's inquiry. In some cases, parties may not have the information needed to submit on all of the issues identified. The Commission will endeavour to ensure that, where appropriate, parties have access to sufficient information so as to be able to furnish their views.
- 16. Information held by the Commission falls into four broad groups:
 - Information that is in the public domain (public information).
 - Information provided to the Commission that is not in the public domain, but is able to be released under the Official Information Act 1982 (non-confidential information).
 - Information provided to the Commission that parties consider to be commercially sensitive and should not be released under the Official Information Act 1982 (confidential information).
 - Information provided to the Commission that is protected by a section 100 confidentiality order (protected information).

³ The Commission is currently reviewing its confidentiality policy and copies will shortly be publicly available.

Public Information

- 17. With respect to public information, the Commission has obtained copies of the published annual reports of the airport companies from corporatisation to date. To ensure that all interested parties have access to this information, without having to approach the airports directly, the Commission encloses spreadsheets of the audited financial accounts and operational statistics of each of the airports in Appendix 1.⁴ Further, Appendix 2 contains schedules of some of the current charges at each airport in connection with landings, aircraft parking, terminal services, and passengers.
- 18. Pursuant to the Airport Authorities (Airport Companies Information Disclosure) Regulations 1999, the airports this year, for the first time, have disclosed the following information:
 - Segment financial accounts for identified airport activities.
 - Passenger charges and charges for identified airport activities; and the methodology used to determine the charges.
 - Basis for allocating assets to identified airport activities.
 - Details of asset revaluations and any reports on which revaluations were based.
 - Operating costs of identified airport activities.
 - Weighted average cost of capital (WACC) and the methodology and calculations used to determine WACC.
 - Numbers of passenger and aircraft movements.
 - Interruptions to services.
 - Number of people employed in identified airport activities.
- 19. The information disclosures made this year by each airport are available on their websites at the following links:

Auckland http://www.auckland-airport.co.nz/StatutoryInfo/disclosure 2000.html

Wellington http://www.wlg-airport.co.nz/airport/index.html

Christchurch http://www.christchurch-airport.co.nz/Profile_FinancialReporting.asp.

20. Pursuant to the disclosure regulations, asset valuation reports are available for inspection at the offices of the airport companies. Alternatively, parties can arrange to view the reports at the Commission's office in Wellington. Parties may find it useful to refer to the information disclosures when responding to the questions in this paper.

⁴ Note that Appendix 1 has been updated since 2 July 1999 to include information for the latest financial years.

_

21. Other relevant public information includes legislation and past decisions of the Commission and the courts in respect of price control in New Zealand. A list of past decisions of the Commission is available on the Commission's website, along with copies of decisions under the Commerce Act 1986. Hard copies of older decisions—under the Commerce Act 1975—can be requested from the Commission, however, decisions under the 1975 Act are not directly relevant as the provisions under that Act differ markedly. Court decisions, legislation, academic literature, and information on regulation internationally can be obtained by parties themselves through the relevant channels.

Non-Confidential Information

- 22. The Commission has been provided with information that, whilst not already in the public domain, is able to be released by it under the Official Information Act 1982 (becoming public information). In fact, some parties have already obtained some of this information by making requests under the Official Information Act. Relevant non-confidential information includes the following:
 - Schedules detailing the airport activities (for both identified airport activities and other activities) at each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports. These specify the activities undertaken by the airport companies, the activities undertaken by third parties, the ownership of assets, and the prices or revenues of the airport companies in respect of the various activities. These schedules have been prepared based on the Commission's preliminary investigations in conjunction with the airport companies. To assist parties, these schedules are enclosed in Appendix 3.
 - Further information in respect of cost allocation, pricing models, asset valuation, WACC calculations, and the various identified airport activities (in addition to that disclosed by the airports under information disclosures), some of which is able to be released under the Official Information Act. For more information, please contact the Commission.

Confidential Information

23. In addition to the public and non-confidential information, the Commission has been provided with information that parties consider to the commercially sensitive and which the Commission has agreed to keep confidential under the Official Information Act 1982.⁵ Some of this information is potentially important to the issues being considered in this inquiry. In addition, the Commission considers that parties may not be able to fully appreciate some issues based on public information alone. The Commission must be able to test confidential information to the maximum extent possible.

⁵ Decisions to keep information confidential are reviewable by the Office of the Ombudsman.

- 24. To do enable the Commission to test confidential information to the maximum extent possible and enable parties to furnish their views, the Commission will utilise some or all of the following practices:
 - Ensure that public information conveys the thrust of confidential information without disclosing confidential details.
 - Release confidential material under the Official Information Act on public interest grounds.
 - Make confidential information available to experts employed by the Commission.
- 25. Decisions as to how confidential information will be tested will be based on a case-by-case assessment of whether parties have *sufficient* information to be able to furnish their views. Sufficient information does not mean ample information, but at least enough to enable the relevant purpose to be fulfilled—parties are not entitled to every conceivable piece of relevant information. Sufficiency is a relative and flexible concept dependent upon the context and purposes for which the consultation is required, and the existing knowledge and position of the parties. It is recognised that, due to consultations with airports, the major airlines already have most of the confidential information.

Protected Information

26. Some information held is protected under the Commerce Act by a section 100 confidentiality order. A section 100 order prohibits the publication or communication of, or the giving of evidence involving, the information. The Commission is currently considering—as part of the review of its confidentiality policy—how it will test this information. The options being considered are providing information to independent experts employed by interested parties under confidentiality undertakings and/or providing it to experts that the Commission may employ.

FURTHER INFORMATION

27. Information on the price control study is available by visiting the Commission's website at http://www.comcom.govt.nz or by contacting the Commission in Wellington. Correspondence can be sent to:

Price Control Study of Airfield Activities Commerce Commission P O Box 2351 Wellington

SCOPE (TERMS OF REFERENCE) OF THE INQUIRY

- 28. Acting pursuant to s 54 of the Commerce Act, the Minister of Commerce (the Minister) has required the Commission to report to him on the following matters:
 - "A whether there is evidence that airfield activities {as defined in the Airport Authorities Amendment Act 1997} provided by the three major international airports (Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch) are supplied or acquired in a market in which competition is limited or is likely to be lessened; and it {is} necessary or desirable for the prices of these goods or services to be controlled in accordance with the {Commerce} Act in the interests of users, or consumers, or as the case may be, suppliers; and
 - B whether market conditions are such that the Commission believes that {the Minister} should recommend to the Governor-General that he make an Order in Council under section 53 of the {Commerce} Act invoking price controls over charges for airfield activities at the three major international airports."
- 29. Specific matters which the Commission is required to consider and report on to the Minister are:
 - "1. Whether {price control over} charges should be introduced for airfield activities at one or more of the three major international airports.
 - 2. If the Commission is of the view that price control should be introduced, to which (i) regions, areas, or localities in New Zealand; (ii) quantities, qualities, grades, or classes; and (iii) different persons or classes of persons, should price control be applied?
 - 3. What conditions, tests, or thresholds does the Commission consider would be useful in judging whether (i) airfield activities are or will be supplied in a market in which competition is limited or likely to be lessened; and (ii) it is necessary or desirable for the prices of airfield activities to be controlled in accordance with the {Commerce} Act.

If price control was introduced (i) what form of price control would the Commission apply; (ii) and why; (iii) how would the Commission operate this form of price control; and (iv) what time and/or in what conditions should price control end?"

30. The Airport Authorities Amendment Act 1997 defines airfield activities as one of three identified airport activities—the others being aircraft and freight activities, and specified passenger terminal activities. Airfield activities are specifically defined in the Airport Authorities Amendment Act as follows:

Airfield Activities means activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) to enable the landing and take-off of aircraft; and includes-

- (a) The provision of any or more of the following:
 - (i) Airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft;
 - (ii) Facilities and services for air traffic and parking apron control;
 - (iii) Airfield and associated lighting;
 - (iv) Services to maintain and repair airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft;
 - (v) Rescue, fire, safety and environmental hazard control services;
 - (vi) Airfield supervisory and security services; and
- (b) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide airfield activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime).
- 31. In broad terms, the Commission is required to report to the Minister on four things:

- Whether there is limited competition for airfield activities.
- Whether control is necessary or desirable in respect of the charges for airfield activities.
- Whether market conditions are such that the Minister should make a recommendation resulting in the imposition of control over such charges.
- The nature and extent of any price control measures that would be introduced (where applicable).
- 32. The s 54 Notice relates solely to airfield activities. However, the Minister of Commerce, in response to suggestions from airlines that the scope of the inquiry should be widened, has stated that:
 - "...the Commerce Commission will not be able to ignore the other areas of the airport outside the scope of "airfield activities". This is because to thoroughly examine airfield activities the Commerce Commission will need to assess such factors as allocation of assets, revenues, and costs between airfield activities and other areas of the airport."
- 33. Although the scope is limited to airfield activities, the integrated nature of airport activities makes it necessary for the Commission to gain an understanding, and consider the impact, of other airport activities. The Commission, therefore, considers that it should examine airfield activities in the context of all airport activities. Accordingly, where necessary, this paper also examines airport activities other than airfield activities. However, in reporting to the Minister, the Commission intends to confine its recommendations to airfield activities.

-

⁶ Letter dated 4 February 1999 from Hon Max Bradford, Minister for Enterprise and Commerce, to Mr David Beatson, Air New Zealand.

CHANGES TO THE COMMERCE ACT 1986

- 34. Since the receipt of the s 54 Notice, changes have been proposed to the Commerce Act, including some of the current provisions in Parts IV and V (the price control provisions). The Minister has indicated that it is a high priority for the Government to enact the changes as soon as possible. The exact wording of the amendments will be known once they are introduced into Parliament (and subsequently enacted). However, the Minister has released a cabinet paper describing the Government's proposed changes. To a large extent, the changes are expected to be similar to those suggested by the previous Government's Commerce (Controlled Goods and Services) Amendment Bill. The Commission expects the changes to include repealing Part IV and sections 70-74 of Part V of the Act, replacing them with new a Part 4 and new provisions in Part 5. Changes to Part V are understood to be likely to give the Commission increased flexibility in administering controls by granting it discretion in respect of approach. Further, it is anticipated that the present limiting of Part IV to the control of prices will be removed, and the control of goods or services will extend to prices, revenues and quality standards. Also, changes to the wording of section 53(2) are expected.
- 35. Although the s 54 Notice was issued under the existing provisions of the Commerce Act, the Commission, in making its recommendations to the Minister, intends to consider any amended provisions that may apply on the grounds that the Minister is likely to be considering, and making decisions based on, the Commission's report under amended provisions of the Act. Where relevant—and to the extent it can—this paper refers to the expected amendments to the legislation, so that parties may, in making their submissions, take account of the anticipated changes.
- 36. The anticipated amendments are likely to mainly affect three areas of this paper (1, 2 and 5), as follows:
 - What the Commission is being asked to contemplate—the definition of control Q 1-5 under the Commerce Act. Changes to s 70 are expected to broaden the definition.
 - The "conditions, tests or thresholds" that the Commission has to consider—s Q 6-14 53(2)(b) of the Commerce Act is expected to be amended in terms of whose interests are to be considered.
 - Operation of any controls—if control is declared, how would it operate. Q 91-95
 Similarly, changes to s 70 are likely to provide more flexibility, granting the
 Commission discretion in respect of how it administers any controls.

CRITICAL ISSUES

The Definition of Control under the Commerce Act

- 37. In order to consider whether airfield activities should be controlled in accordance with the Commerce Act, it is necessary to define control. However, the Act does not define price control or suggest what form it might take. Some guidance is provided by s 70 which currently allows the Commission to "authorise a maximum, actual, or minimum price" and by previous decisions of the Commission and the Courts with respect to the administration of price control.
- 38. As noted earlier, anticipated amendments to the Commerce Act are likely to propose two key changes. The first is that price control under the Commerce Act be extended to control of prices, revenues, and quality standards. Secondly, that the method of control with regard to prices, revenues and quality standards be at the Commission's discretion—in contrast to the current provisions where the Commission is limited to authorising a maximum, actual or minimum price for controlled goods and services.
- 39. The Commission must determine what the definition of "control" is for the purposes of the Commerce Act. Before doing this, however, the terms "price" and "revenue" need to be defined and interpreted. The definitions of "price" and "revenue" impact on the definition of "control".

Definition of Price

40. Section 2 of the Commerce Act defines "price" to include "valuable consideration in any form, whether direct or indirect; and includes any consideration that in effect relates to the acquisition or supply of goods or services or the acquisition or disposition of any interest in land, although ostensibly relating to any other matter or thing". The definition of price appears to be quite broad. It also does not appear to be restricted to any period of time.

Question 1: How should the definition of price be interpreted in respect of Part IV of the Act? Is price limited to the charges, rents or fees that users or consumers pay to acquire goods or services? Does price (by definition) include other factors, for example, the asset values placed on the assets utilised or employed in supplying goods or services?

Question 2: Given your response to question 1, what prices exist in relation to the airfield activities at Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? What prices exist in relation to other airport activities at Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports?

Definition of Revenue

41. The Commerce Act 1986 not currently define the term "revenue" and the Amendment Bill is not expected to. The Concise Oxford Dictionary defines revenue as "income ... from any source; items constituting {income}". Income is defined as "periodical receipts from one's business, lands, work, investments etc" and would appear to be defined in terms of a period of time, for example, a year. The Commission must determine what the definition of revenue is for the purposes of the Commerce Act if it is to consider the anticipated amendments and the possibility of controlling revenue.

Question 3: How should revenue be defined for the purposes of the Commerce Act? Is it a gross or net (net of expenses incurred) concept? Over what period of time should revenue be measured? Should revenue be a pre- or post-tax concept? Should it be measured in real or nominal terms? Should revenue be determined on a cash basis or an accrual basis?

Question 4: Given your response to question 3, what revenues exist in relation to the airfield activities at Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? What revenues exist in relation to other airport activities at Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports?

Definition of Control

42. The current provisions of s 70 only allow the Commission to "authorise a maximum, actual, or minimum price". Expected amendments are likely to grant the Commission discretion in the approach it uses to administer price control. The exact wording of proposed changes to s 70 will be contained in the SOP introduced by the Minister.

Question 5: How should price control be interpreted under Part IV of the Commerce Act? How might the definition of control change as a result of the anticipated amendments to s 70? What are the forms of control that may be contemplated? Where do they fit on the spectrum of forms of control, based on the 'degree' or method of control?

Conditions, Tests, or Thresholds for Controlling Goods or Services under the Commerce Act

- 43. Section 53(2) of the Commerce Act allows the Minister to recommend that goods or services be controlled if satisfied that:
 - (a) Goods or services are or will be supplied or acquired in a market in which competition is limited or is likely to be lessened; and
 - (b) It is necessary or desirable for those goods and services to be controlled either (i) in the interests of users or consumers, or (ii) in the interests of suppliers.
- 44. It is important to note that the Commission has never had to consider whether goods or services should be controlled. However, it has some experience with the requirements of s 53(2) as they mirror the first two considerations in s 73, which the Commission was required to consider when it previously authorised prices for controlled goods or services. In determining whether the requirements of s 53(2) are satisfied, a number of "conditions, tests, or thresholds" potentially need to be considered. The s 54 Notice requires the Commission to report to the Minister on the "conditions, tests, or thresholds" considered. For these reasons, the Commission needs to determine those "conditions, tests, or thresholds".

Section 53(2)(a)

45. Section 53(2)(a) refers to markets in which competition is "limited or is likely to be lessened". Of these terms only "lessened" is used in any other part of the Commerce Act. The term "lessened" is used in s 73(a) in Part V in respect of authorisations for controlled goods or services and in respect of a "substantial lessening" under Part II of the Act. Section 3(2) also provides that "references to the lessening of competition include references to the hindering or preventing of competition". However, the amendments are proposed that would see the term "substantial lessening" also used in s 47 of Part 3 of the Act. The Commission must determine the "conditions, tests, or thresholds" that are needed to determine whether competition is "limited or is likely to be lessened" and to what extent the principles of competition used elsewhere in the Act are relevant.

Question 6: Given the requirements of s 53(2)(a), what "conditions, tests or thresholds" would be useful in determining whether competition is "limited or is likely to be lessened"? How should the words "limited or is likely to be lessened" be interpreted? To what extent is the Commission's approach to competition in Parts II and III of the Commerce Act relevant in respect of Part IV? What specific factors need to be considered in respect of any such "conditions, tests or thresholds"? Please explain.

Section 53(2)(b)

46. Section 53(2)(b) requires that "It is necessary or desirable for...goods or services to be controlled...in the interests of users, consumers, or, as the case may be, of suppliers". The Commerce Act does not define the terms "necessary or desirable" or "in the interests of", or provide any guidance as to their interpretation. The Commission must determine the "conditions, tests, or thresholds" that are needed to determine whether control of goods or services is "necessary or desirable".

Question 7: Given the requirements of s 53(2)(b), what "conditions, tests or thresholds" would be useful in determining whether control of goods or services is necessary or desirable? How should the words "necessary or desirable" and "in the interests of" be interpreted? What specific factors need to be considered in respect of any such "conditions, tests or thresholds"? Please explain.

<u>Interests to be Considered</u>

- 47. In considering the provisions of s 53(2)(b), the Act directs the Commission to consider whether the imposition of control is necessary or desirable in the interests of *users*, *consumers*, *or*, *as the case may be, of suppliers*. Of these, only the term "supplier" is defined in the Act, as a person who provides, grants or confers services; or supplies goods by way of gift, sale, exchange, lease, hire or hire purchase. The Commission needs to determine whose interests it is required to consider.
- 48. The Amendment Bill proposes that the purpose of the Commerce Act be "for the long-term benefit of consumers". In line with the changes proposed by the previous Government, the SOP is expected to replace the current provisions in s 53(2)(b) and provide that the following interests be considered in determining whether the imposition of control is necessary or desirable:
 - Persons who acquire goods or services from a person who faces limited or lessened competition in supplying them.⁷
 - Suppliers who supply goods or services to a person who faces limited or lessened competition in acquiring them.

⁷ The current provisions of the Act refer to users and consumers rather than persons.

Question 8: In determining whether the imposition of control is "necessary or desirable", whose interests is the Commission able to consider? Whose interests should it consider? In considering whether the imposition of control is "necessary or desirable", whose interests is the Commission acting to safeguard? In terms of the current provisions, how should the terms "users", "consumers" and "suppliers" be defined and interpreted? With respect to the likely amendments, how should the term "persons acquiring the goods" be interpreted? How does the term "persons acquiring the goods" compare to the terms "users" and "consumers"? How, if at all, do the interests to be considered change given the anticipated amendments? Please explain.

Question 9: Who are the users, consumers, and suppliers of airfield activities at, Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? In respect of the likely amendments, who are the persons acquiring airfield activities at, Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports?

Efficiency

- 49. A key issue is whether efficiency is a valid consideration in considering matters under Part IV of the Act, and in determining whether control should be imposed.
- 50. The current Part IV provides that goods or services are controlled in accordance with the Commerce Act. The purpose of the Act is to promote competition in markets in New Zealand. Also, s 73 sets out the factors to which the Commission must have regard in implementing control through authorising prices for controlled goods or services. Sub-sections (a) and (b) mirror the considerations in respect of s 53(2), however, s 73(c) goes further and specifically requires the Commission to have regard to: "The *promotion of efficiency* in the production and supply or acquisition of the controlled goods or services" (emphasis added). It is not totally clear whether efficiency can, or should, be considered in deciding whether control is "necessary or desirable".
- 51. The Amendment Bill proposes to replace the current long title of the Act with a purpose statement being that "{t}he purpose of this Act is to promote competition in markets for the long term benefit of consumers". Whereas currently the long title simply talks of promoting competition in markets, the proposed amendment aims to do so for the "long term benefit of consumers".

Question 10: Should efficiency be considered by the Commission when determining whether control of goods or services is necessary or desirable? Are the considerations in s 73(c) relevant to determining whether control should be imposed? Should the "promotion of efficiency", as stated in s 73(c) be considered in determining whether control should be imposed? Is efficiency one of the "conditions, tests or thresholds" that need to be considered in determining whether control of goods or services is necessary or desirable per s 53(2)(b)? How, if at all, would your answer differ as a result of the anticipated amendments to the Act? Why?

Question 11: If it is appropriate to consider efficiency, how should the term 'efficiency' be defined? Practically, would need to be considered? How could efficiency be measured?

- 52. The Minister has to be satisfied of the requirements in s 53(2) before recommending that goods or services be controlled. The s 54 Notice requires the Commission to report on the requirements in s 53(2), but also to report on the "conditions, tests or thresholds" considered. Specifically, the Notice requires the Commission to report on the following:
 - 3. What conditions, tests, or thresholds does the Commission consider would be useful in judging whether (i) airfield activities are or will be supplied in a market in which competition is limited or likely to be lessened; and (ii) it is necessary or desirable for the prices of airfield activities to be controlled in accordance with the {Commerce} Act.

Question 12: Given your responses to questions 6-11, what "conditions, tests or thresholds" would be useful in determining whether (i) airfield activities are or will be supplied in a market in which competition is limited or likely to be lessened; and (ii) it is necessary or desirable for the prices of airfield activities to be controlled in accordance with the Commerce Act?

Question 13: What weight should the Commission give to the various "conditions, tests or thresholds"? Why?

Question 14: What evidence is required to establish that airfield activities supplied or acquired at Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports meet the "conditions, tests or thresholds" and satisfy the requirements for control?

The Goods or Services that are Supplied or Acquired in Markets in which Competition is Limited or is Likely to be Lessened

53. The paper as already asked about the "conditions, tests or thresholds" that would be useful in determining whether airfield activities are or will be supplied in a market in which competition is limited or likely to be lessened. Applying the "conditions, tests or thresholds" in respect of the current s 54 Notice involves examining the airfield activities to determine the goods or services for which control could be recommended; namely those goods or services that are supplied or acquired in markets in which competition is limited or is likely to be lessened.

Goods or Services Supplied or Acquired

54. Both the terms "goods" and "services" are defined in the Commerce Act. Broadly speaking, "services" include any rights, benefits, privileges, or facilities that are (or are able to be) provided, granted, or conferred in trade. "Goods"—relevant to airports—are defined to include aircraft, vehicles, gas and electricity. In addition, the Airport Authorities Amendment Act defines identified airport activities to comprise any one or more of airfield activities, aircraft and freight activities, and specified passenger terminal activities; each of which is also defined.

Question 15: What goods or services are supplied or acquired at each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports in respect of airfield activities? Please differentiate between the goods and services.

Relevant Markets

- 55. Market definition is fundamental to any inquiry that considers whether to subject goods or services to control under the Commerce Act. Section 53(2)(a) refers to a *market* in which competition is limited or is likely to be lessened. Markets need to be defined in order to provide a framework within which to analyse the extent of competition, or its antithesis—market power.
- 56. Section 3(1A) of the Act provides that the term market "is a reference to a market in New Zealand for goods and services as well as other goods and services that, as a matter of fact and commercial commonsense, are substitutable for them." Markets are typically defined according to three dimensions: (1) the goods or services supplied and purchased, (2) the functional level in the distribution chain, and (3) the geographic area within which the goods or services are obtained or supplied.

- 57. With airports, the relevant markets may be New Zealand-wide (national), regional, or even airport specific; and groups of services at any given airport may be supplied in a single market, or separately in a number of smaller markets. Markets are mutually exclusive: if a national market is considered to be the relevant market, then there cannot be any smaller markets.
- 58. Given the terms of reference of this inquiry, it is the market(s) in which airfield activities are supplied or acquired that the Commission has been asked to consider.

Question 16: Are the market(s) in which airfield activities are supplied or acquired geographically limited? In other words, are there separate markets for airfield activities at each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports; or are there regional markets; or is there a national market for airfield activities? Do other airports compete with any of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? What relevance (if any) does the distinction between domestic and international services have for market definition?

- 59. Airfield activities may be supplied in a broader market than a market for airfield activities alone. Some possible markets, in which airfield activities may be supplied, are as follows:
 - Market(s) for identified airport activities.
 - Market(s) for airport services.
 - Market(s) for aviation services.

Question 17: Are airfield activities supplied in a broader market than a market for airfield activities? If yes, in what market are they supplied in? If the relevant market is the one for aviation services (or something similar), is this also the market in which airlines operate and provide services? Please provide reasons.

- 60. The activities undertaken as airfield activities may be supplied in separate markets. Some possible markets are as follows:
 - Market(s) for runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft.
 - Market(s) for aerodrome and apron control services.
 - Market(s) for airfield maintenance services.
 - Market(s) for airport rescue services.

Question 18: Are the activities undertaken as airfield activities supplied in separate product markets? If yes, which markets are they supplied in? Please explain why.

61. The market for airfield activities may not be the only market in which Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports operate.

Question 19: Do other activities or markets have an impact—either currently or potentially—on the market for airfield activities? Please identify and explain what these other markets are.

Question 20: In summary, given your responses to questions 16-19, what are the relevant markets with regard to the airfield activities supplied at each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? Please explain.

Competition Analysis

62. Section 53(2) of the Commerce Act states that control of goods or services should only be introduced in markets where *competition is limited or is likely to be lessened*. In order to assess this, the Commission potentially needs to consider whether any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports are able to exercise market power in any of the defined markets, or whether, and to what degree, they are constrained by the presence of competitive sources of supply, either in the form of substitutable products or suppliers, or by the countervailing power (if any) of buyers.

Question 21: What are the price elasticities of demand for airfield activities? What, if any, substitutes are available for airfield activities? What are the cross elasticities between any identified substitutes and the airfield activities they could substitute for? In other words, what are the characteristics of (1) the supply of, and (2) the demand for, airfield activities? Please provide reasons.

Question 22: With respect to each of the markets identified in your response to question 20, to what extent does each airport face competition in airfield activities from: (1) other airports, (2) other transport modes, and (3) the potential for other competitors to enter the market? Please provide reasons.

Question 23: What factors give rise to countervailing power if it exists? What is the potential for the users of airfield activities (e.g. airlines) to exercise countervailing power? Does the countervailing power, if any, vary depending on the significance or size of the aircraft operator? Is the countervailing power, if any, stronger when airlines act collectively? Do foreign and domestic airlines have different amounts of countervailing power? Please explain.

Question 24: What goods or services (included within the definition of airfield activities) are supplied or acquired in a market in which competition is limited or is likely to be lessened? In which markets? Please explain.

Whether Control is Necessary or Desirable for any of the Goods or Services Identified

- 63. The paper has already asked about the "conditions, tests or thresholds" that would be useful in determining whether it is necessary or desirable for goods and services to be controlled either (i) in the interests of users or consumers, or (ii) in the interests of suppliers. Applying the "conditions, tests or thresholds" in respect of the current s 54 Notice involves an assessment of whether control is necessary or desirable for any of the goods or services identified as being supplied or acquired in a market in which competition is limited or is likely to be lessened. In making and conducting this assessment, the following potentially need to be considered:
 - Charging principles.
 - Cost allocation methodologies.
 - Airport use and congestion.
 - Capital investment.
 - Cross-subsidisation.
 - Asset valuation.
 - Cost of capital.
 - Rate of return relative to cost of capital.
 - Efficiency.
 - The current airport regulation.
 - Regulation of airports internationally.

Charging Principles

64. Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports set charges in order to recover from users and consumers the capital and operating costs incurred in running the airport, together with a return on capital invested. Costs are allocated between activities, and between the various users and consumers.

Question 25: What broad principles should govern the pricing of airfield activities? Are the principles any different to those that should apply in respect of any other airport activities? Are they any different to those that should apply in other industries?

65. The international terminal services charge at Auckland International Airport has been based on a commercial agreement since 1998. All airline charges and services at Wellington International Airport are based on a deed agreed in mid-1997. To some extent, however, these charges are based on underlying pricing models or cost allocations. Aside from the charges reached by commercial negotiation, charges (including the landing charges at Auckland and Christchurch International Airports) are determined by the airports based almost entirely on a pricing model.

Question 26: Should charges for airfield activities be derived directly from a specific pricing model; or should they be derived from commercial negotiations with users? What are the positives and negatives of each approach?

Question 27: If in response to question 26 you consider that charges for airfield activities should be derived directly from a specific pricing model, what are your views of the methodologies or models utilised by Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? If you disagree with the model they use, what alternative model do you think they should use? Please explain.

66. Regardless of how prices are determined, there appears to be at least an underlying, if not direct, relationship between prices and costs.

Question 28: Which costs should airports attempt to recoup from users and consumers of airfield activities? Should both operating and capital costs be recovered? Should both direct and common (overhead, joint, or indirect) costs be recovered? Should the cost of any land held for future development be recouped? Should the cost of any runway area not used by any aircraft operating at an airport (either because the runway has been extended beyond the needs of aircraft currently using the airport or because the airlines now operate smaller aircraft at the airport) be recouped?

Question 29: Should charges for airfield activities recover only costs, or should they include profit margins necessary to achieve a rate of return? Does your answer differ in respect of any other airport activities?

67. Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports determine prices based on a twintill system, the two tills being what they consider to be the non-contestable and contestable parts of the airports. Under a twin-till system, users or consumers of the non-contestable business receive no direct benefit from any increases in revenues from the commercial business, in that their charges do not decrease. In contrast, some overseas airports operate a single-till approach where the airlines receive the benefit of increased commercial revenues in lower landing charges.

Question 30: How should costs be allocated between airport activities? Should airline users obtain any benefit—by way of, for example, reduced charges for airfield activities—from other revenue the airport company derives? For example, should the revenue from passenger departure charges be deducted from the pool of costs allocated to airlines, since the airlines provide the passengers who pay the charges? Similarly, should airlines receive a benefit from revenue derived from concessionaires and public car parking? Should airlines receive a benefit from other commercial revenues, for example, rents for buildings and land at the airport and utilities charges? Is a single-till or twin-till approach appropriate? Please provide reasons.

Question 31: How does the means by which costs are recovered impact on the charges for airfield activities? How does it impact on users and consumers? Should some costs be recovered from airline companies—through, for example, charges for airfield activities—and others direct from their passengers? Please identify the costs and provide reasons.

Question 32: In summary, given your responses to questions 25-31, how should charges for airfield activities be structured and determined? Please explain why.

Question 33: Do you have any other comments about the specific charging principles adopted by Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports in respect of airfield activities? How do the principles adopted by the airports compare to the principles that you consider that they should utilise?

Cost Allocation Methodologies

- 68. The following questions should be considered primarily with regard to airfield activities, but comments are also welcome in respect of other airport activities.
- 69. As noted above, costs are allocated between activities, and between the various users and consumers. The Commission understands that, typically, the direct costs of any facility—for example, runways, taxiways and aprons—are recovered from users based on their use of the facility, while joint costs are apportioned using a variety of factors which seem to be related to demand sensitivity.

Question 34: What are the costs of airfield activities? Which are direct costs (able to be directly attributed to individual users and consumers)? Which are joint (common) costs? What drives or determines these costs? Why?

70. With regard to the airfield, typically, the costs of the basic facility (used by the lightest and all aircraft) are shared amongst all users, with the costs of increments to that facility allocated only to those larger aircraft which make use of them. The basis of cost allocation is different for different parts of the airfield. Airfield land is typically allocated to users based on the number of aircraft movements and the runway area required. The costs of sealed surfaces (runways, taxiways and aprons), and the damage to them, are shared among users based on a variety of factors including the runway area used, runway thickness required, number of landings, seats landed⁸, tonnes landed⁹, and equivalent annual landings of design aircraft¹⁰. The costs of rescue fire services are allocated to users based on rescue fire category required¹¹ and the number of landings or seats landed.

Question 35: Where cost allocations are used to arrive at charges for airfield activities, on what basis should costs be allocated between users? To what extent should individual components of costs be allocated separately based on individual cost drivers? Should capital costs and operating costs be recovered separately? In other words, which users should be expected to meet the various costs identified? Why?

⁸ Seat capacity of aircraft multiplied by the number of landings.

⁹ Maximum certified take-off weight of aircraft (MCTOW) multiplied by number of landings.

¹⁰ Calculated in accordance with Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) Advisory Circular AC150/5320-6C.

¹¹ Defined for each aircraft in accordance with International Civil Aviation Organisation (ICAO) and New Zealand Civil Aviation Authority (CAA) requirements.

Question 36: Do you have any other comments about the specific cost allocation methodologies adopted by Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports in respect of airfield activities?

Airport Use and Congestion

71. Facilities at some airports could be under-utilised, while facilities at others may be congested—both airfields and terminal buildings. Congested airports impose higher costs on users and consumers. However, greater capacity utilisation lowers the average level of fixed costs per user. Some airports also experience differing levels of use at different times of the day or week. Further, airport use can and does change over time.

Question 37: Should airports with high runway use have lower charges for airfield activities than airports with less use or vice versa? Why? What factors should be used in deciding whether an airport is congested?

Question 38: How should growth translate into changes in charges for airfield activities over time? Should charges be adjusted when the number of aircraft movements, passenger numbers, or the size of aircraft operated changes significantly? If so, what would constitute a significant enough change?

72. When an airport is congested, peak load pricing or similar mechanisms are the short-run response to eliminate excess demand. The use of such pricing mechanisms can raise issues for price control mechanisms which are designed to eliminate monopoly profits.

Question 39: Is there any evidence of runway congestion currently at Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? What are the implications of the use of pricing to control airport congestion? What issues should be considered when trying to fit such pricing within any price control mechanism? Why?

Capital Investment

73. Growth in traffic will require investment in new facilities at airports from time to time. Airport companies must make decisions to invest in capital projects despite these future uncertainties. It may not be desirable for airport companies to delay investment until demand exceeds capacity. Equally, it is not likely to be desirable for airport companies to over-invest in facilities.

Question 40: What factors should be considered by airports in making decisions on timing of investments in respect of airfield activities? When should airports invest in new facilities for airfield activities? Should airports invest only when demand exceeds capacity, or should airports invest in time to meet anticipated future demand? Does the appropriate timing for investment differ between the airports? Please explain why.

Question 41: How should capital expenditure in respect of airfield activities be funded? What alternatives are available? Should an airport development charge (international passenger departure charge) be used to pay for new capital expenditure, or should landing charges and/or terminal charges be increased to fund any new investment? Should there be a limit on the fraction of development costs that can be raised from users and consumers? Should the costs funded by users and consumers be limited to the costs of financing development (for example, interest costs)? Please explain why.

Question 42: When should the costs of new investments in respect of airfield activities be included in the costs allocated to airport users and consumers? Should airport users and consumers commence paying for any capital expenditure before the airport commences the investment, once the investment is commenced (and in progress), or once it is completed and available for use? Should current users and consumers expect a guarantee of future access rights if they pay for investment before it is completed? Please explain why.

74. Since corporatisation, Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports have undertaken significant new investment. Each has invested in new terminal facilities and developed commercial property. Wellington International Airport has reshaped, resurfaced and grooved its entire runway and installed new drainage systems. Auckland International Airport is currently undertaking a project to rehabilitate its runway. Various other investment projects are being undertaken or are planned.

Question 43: Under what circumstances would an airport under- or over-invest in facilities in respect of airfield activities? How should recent investments by the airports in respect of airfield activities be interpreted? Is there any evidence of over- or under-investment? For what reasons were such investments most likely made? Are the investment decisions in any way related to corporatisation or the threat of price control?

Cross-subsidisation

75. Cross-subsidisation occurs where one user or group of users subsidise another user or group of users. In other words, some users pay less than the incremental costs of supply, with the unrecovered costs being paid by others. Cross-subsidisation is most likely to occur where the profits earned by non-contestable business activities are used to cross-subsidise contestable activities.

Question 44: Is prevention of cross-subsidisation desirable? If so, how could it actually be prevented? Why?

Question 45: Is there any evidence of cross-subsidisation in respect of airfield activities at any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? Between which other airport activities does this occur? Why?

Asset Valuation Methodology

- 76. The approach used to value assets is important in determining airport charges as charges attempt to recover costs and provide a return on capital employed. In addition, asset valuations often serve as the denominator for rates of return. As a consequence, an increase in asset values, for example, is likely to result in an increase in charges and/or a fall in the company's rate of return. The airport companies are currently free to choose, and to change, their asset valuation policy, subject to meeting generally accepted accounting principles.
- 77. There are a number of asset valuation methods available, which range from the historic cost of assets at one extreme to a "greenfields" (complete rebuild) approach at the other. In between are concepts including depreciated historic cost, inflation adjusted historic cost, replacement cost, economic value, deprival value, optimised deprival value, and combinations of these. Alternatively, where an airport has been privatised, a measure related to the sale value might be used. Numerous alternative methodologies exist.

Question 46: How should airfield assets be valued for the purposes of arriving at (1) charges for airfield activities, (2) a rate of return on airfield assets, and (3) price control regulations? In each instance, please include comment on the relative merits of the various asset valuation methods. What principles should be used to assess the merits of different methods?

Question 47: Should airports be required to adopt a standard asset valuation methodology for the purposes of arriving at (1) charges for airfield activities, (2) a rate of return on airfield assets, and (3) price control regulations? What would be the advantages and disadvantages of a standardised approach? Why?

Question 48: When airfield assets are revalued, how should revaluation gains (or losses) be treated? Should they be recorded as income?

Asset Valuation of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports

78. The valuations of the fixed assets of the airports are detailed in the following table:

	Auckland	Wellington	Christchurch
Vesting value	\$345 million	\$87 million	\$94 million
Value per the airports last statement of financial position (2000 financial year)	\$827 million	\$242 million	\$206 million
Increase in value of fixed assets	\$481 million	\$155 million	\$112 million
% increase	139%	178%	119%

- 79. Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports do not employ identical asset valuation policies. The asset valuation policy adopted directly affects the fixed asset values in the airport company's published statement of financial position.
 - Until recently, Auckland used depreciated historic cost accounting, with fixed assets recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation, but revalued its assets on 30 June 1999.
 - Since 1993, Wellington has regularly revalued its fixed assets.
 - In its financial accounts, Christchurch uses depreciated historic cost for all fixed assets except land, which has been revalued since 1996. For pricing purposes current valuations have also been used for sealed surfaces and terminals.
- 80. The methodologies most recently used by the airports to value selected assets is summarised in the following table:

	Auckland	Wellington	Christchurch
Land	Optimised Replacement Cost (ORC)	Zone approach or hypothetical subdivision.	Specialised land at ORC. Other land (including that held for future development) at the market value of the "highest and best use".
Specialised Terminal	Optimised Depreciation Replacement Cost (ODRC)	ODRC, but with an income approach used for the contestable elements.	ODRC
Sealed surfaces	ODRC	ODRC	ODRC

81. Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports all depreciate fixed assets, except land, on a straight line basis over their estimated remaining useful lives, but use different economic lives. The economic lives adopted directly affects the fixed asset values in the airport company's published statement of financial position. Current estimated economic lives used by Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports are as follows:

Asset	Auckland	Wellington	Christchurch
Buildings and services	5-33 years	14-60 years	10-40 years
Runways, taxiways and aprons	16-40 years	10-30 years	30-50 years
Vehicles	5 years	3-8 years	5-16 years
Plant and office equipment	5-10 years	1-20 years	3-25 years
Infrastructure	5-50 years		

- 82. The changes in the fixed asset values of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports since corporatisation are the result of a mix of asset revaluations, depreciation, capital investment and capital divestment.
 - Auckland has revalued its assets by \$283 million, with a further \$198 million increase in fixed assets being due to net capital investment (net of divestments and depreciation).
 - Wellington has revalued its assets upwards by \$36 million. In addition, Wellington has made net capital investments of \$119 million.
 - Christchurch has only revalued its land upwards by \$47 million. In addition, Christchurch has made net capital investments of \$65 million.
- 83. The recent information disclosures made by Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports have disclosed details in respect of asset valuation, and made asset valuation reports available.

Question 49: Regardless of your views on asset valuation methodology, have Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports applied their chosen methodology correctly? For example, have airfield assets been optimised appropriately? If not, please explain.

Question 50: Is there any evidence that the asset valuations adopted in respect of airfield activities by any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports are excessive or unreasonable? If so, please provide details.

Cost of Capital

84. As Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports use a mix of debt and equity financing, cost of capital should be computed as a weighted average cost of capital (WACC). In the simplest terms, the cost of debt and the cost of equity for a firm are weighted by the proportions of debt and equity the firm uses and are summed together to get its WACC.

Question 51: Should WACC be computed on a nominal or real basis? Please explain why.

Question 52: Should WACC be computed on a pre- or post-tax basis? Please explain why.

Question 53: What effective tax rate should be used to determine WACC? Please explain why.

85. The relevant cost of debt for computing WACC is usually the after-tax cost of new debt. The published financial accounts of the airports disclose their current loans and the interest rates being paid. According to its 2000 annual report, Auckland is currently paying 10% for shorter-term debt and 7.5-8.0% for long-term debt, the highest of the three airports. Wellington is currently paying between 4.76% and 6.31% for unsecured loans. Christchurch is currently paying slightly more, between 5.27% and 7.09%.

Question 54: How should the cost of debt be determined? What benchmarks should be used to calculate the cost of short and long term debt respectively? Why?

Question 55: What should be the cost of debt for each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? Why?

- 86. There are two sources of equity financing available to firms: (1) retained earnings and (2) issues of new common stock. The cost of financing using retained earnings is the rate of return shareholders require or the opportunity costs of alternative investments. The cost of financing using new issues of shares is higher than the cost of financing using retained earnings, being that cost plus an adjustment for issue costs.
- 87. Methods that can be used to determine the cost of equity include the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), the Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) Model, and the bond-yield-plus-risk-premium approach, although the CAPM is most commonly used. The CAPM takes the risk-free rate and

adds a market risk premium weighted by the firm's beta. Beta is a measure of a firm's individual risk and is what generally causes its cost of equity to be different from that of another firm in the same industry or economy. A small change in beta can significantly change a firm's cost of equity, and hence its WACC.

Question 56: Should the CAPM be used to determine the cost of equity, or should another model or approach be used? Why?

Question 57: If the CAPM were to be used, what should beta be for each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? Should an asset or equity beta be used? Why? What firms or industries in New Zealand or overseas have similar risk profiles and can therefore be used as benchmarks for determining beta?

Question 58: What risk-free rate should be used to determine the cost of equity? Why?

Question 59: What market risk premium should be used to determine the cost of equity? Why?

Question 60: What should the cost of equity be for each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? Why?

88. From the published financial accounts of the airports, the debt and equity ratios of each airport can be computed. The debt ratios of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports at the end of their 2000 financial years were 40.58%, 73.91% and 43.09%, respectively. The equity ratios were 59.42%, 26.09% and 56.91%, respectively. In recent years, Auckland has reduced its debt ratio slightly, while Christchurch has increased its debt ratio slightly. Wellington, however, has significantly increased its debt ratio.

Question 61: Regardless of how the airport companies actually finance their assets, what weights for debt and equity should be used in determining WACC for each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? What factors lead to different debt and equity ratios? What are the implications of them for WACC? Should the focus be long-term or short-term? Please explain.

Question 62: Given you responses to questions 51 to 61, what is the appropriate WACC for the entire business of each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? Why?

89. What is important for this inquiry, however, is the cost of capital for airfield activities. In addition, the costs of capital for aircraft and freight activities, specified passenger terminal activities, and other airport activities are also relevant, if returns for those segments are to be compared to those for airfield activities.

Question 63: Considering questions 51 to 61 again, what is the appropriate WACC for airfield activities of each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? How does your answer differ in respect of aircraft and freight activities, specified passenger terminal activities, and other airport activities? Please provide reasons.

90. Cost of capital estimates for "identified airport activities" have been disclosed by the airports this year as part of information disclosures as follows.

WACC Component	Auckland	Wellington	Christchurch
Asset Beta	0.45-0.60	0.4-0.5	0.65
Risk-free Rate	7.3%	6.97%	6.23%
Inflation	1.6%	n/a	n/a
Market Risk Premium (after tax)	9.0%	9.0%	9.0%
Debt Premium	1.5%	1.0%	n/a
Tax Rate	33%	33%	33%
Debt Ratio	50%	40%	n/a
WACC (nominal after tax)	9.5-11.5%	8.5-9.4%	10.1%

Question 64: How do your views on WACC (as indicated in your responses to questions 51 to 63) compare to the WACC estimates adopted by each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports?

Rate of Return Earned

91. Numerous measures of rates of return exist, however, they all essentially divide the earnings for a given period by a denominator representing investment made. The choice of both earnings measure and denominator are usually related to the purpose for which the rate of return is being computed, for example, return to shareholders.

- 92. Rate of return measures include (but are not limited to) the following:
 - Return on Assets (ROA) which is determined by dividing net profit/loss after tax by total assets.
 - Return on Equity (ROE) which is determined by dividing net profit/loss after tax by total equity.
 - Return on Investment (ROI) which is computed by dividing earnings before interest and taxes by total assets.
 - Accounting Rate of Profit (ARP) which incorporates asset valuation gains and losses and is conceptually comparable to nominal, after-tax, WACC.
- 93. All of these measures are able to be computed from the information in published financial statements, with little adjustment required. Typically, total assets and total equity are replaced by average total assets and average total equity, in order to remove fluctuations in the values of assets and equity over a financial period. Further, extraordinary items included in profits usually need to be excluded, or at least recognised, in order for comparisons over time to be meaningful. Other measures, such as economic rate of return are more subjective, requiring estimation of the market values of assets and economic depreciation or appreciation.

Question 65: What measures of return should be used when examining the rates of return earned by the airport companies for the purposes of this inquiry? Why? What are the merits of using one measure over another?

94. Airport charges are set so as to provide a return on capital employed. Charges are calculated using a target rate of return which the airport company seeks to achieve. The rate of return required is, therefore, a critical factor in determining charges. What is of interest here, however, is the rate of return actually earned, not that targeted; specifically, the rate of return earned relative to the costs of capital employed.

Question 66: What rate of return measure should be used when comparing or benchmarking returns to WACC? Please explain why.

Question 67: What is a reasonable rate of return for airport companies to earn on airfield activities given the cost of capital in respect of airfield activities? Does your answer differ in respect of any other airport activities or for the airport company as a whole? Why?

Overall Rate of Return

95. Using data in the published financial accounts of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports, and the asset valuations adopted by the companies, rates of return can be computed for the airport companies as a whole. For the last three financial years, 1998-2000, rates of return (for all airport activities) included the following:

	Auckland International Airport		
Rate of Return Measure	2000	1999	1998
Return on Assets	6.03%	5.13%	7.54%
Return on Assets adjusted for extraordinary items	6.03%	5.13%	7.97%
Return on Average Total Assets	6.09%	6.19%	7.69%
Return on Investments	11.22%	10.07%	14.02%
Return on Equity	10.15%	8.28%	18.58%
Return on Equity adjusted for extraordinary items	10.15%	8.28%	19.66%
Return on Average Shareholders' Equity	10.04%	11.58%	15.01%
Accounting Rate of Profit	7.91%	62.09%	9.30%

	Wellington International Airport		
Rate of Return Measure	2000	1999	1998
Return on Assets	1.71%	1.82%	2.26%
Return on Average Total Assets	1.78%	1.98%	2.45%
Return on Assets adjusted for work in progress	1.72%	2.69%	2.81%
Return on Investments	5.02%	3.71%	5.43%
Return on Investments adjusted for work in progress	5.05%	5.48%	6.76%
Return on Equity	6.57%	3.62%	3.93%
Return on Average Shareholders' Equity	4.73%	3.68%	3.99%
Accounting Rate of Profit	4.21%	3.35%	3.88%

	Christch	urch International	Airport
Rate of Return Measure	2000	1999	1998
Return on Assets	6.22%	5.59%	5.60%
Return on Average Total Assets	6.15%	5.89%	5.95%
Return on Assets adjusted for work in progress	6.28%	5.60%	5.98%
Return on Investments	11.91%	10.42%	10.78%
Return on Investments adjusted for work in progress	12.01%	10.45%	11.52%
Return on Equity	10.94%	8.34%	9.53%
Return on Average Shareholders' Equity	9.92%	9.34%	9.71%
Accounting Rate of Profit	7.84%	21.52%	7.73%

Question 68: Given your response to question 67, is there any evidence of excessive or unreasonable rates of return being earned overall by any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? If so, please provide details. What benchmark(s) should rates be measured against to determine this?

Segment Rates of Return

96. This inquiry looks at airfield activities in the context of all airport activities. What is important, therefore, is the rate of return earned on airfield activities relative to the cost of capital for airfield activities. In addition, it is interesting to compare these returns to the rates of return earned on aircraft and freight activities, specified passenger terminal activities, and other airport business relative to their respective costs of capital. Segment financial performance details for each activity have been disclosed by the airports this year as part of information disclosures. Rates of return by segment for 2000 are as follows:

			Auckland Internation	onal Airport		
Rate of Return Measure	Airfield	Aircraft and Freight	Specified Passenger Terminal	Identified Airport Activities	Other	Total
Return on Assets	4.34%	5.56%	1.00%	3.11%	11.40%	6.03%
Return on Investments	8.36%	10.20%	3.39%	6.52%	19.81%	11.22%
			Wellington Internati	onal Airport		
Rate of Return Measure	Airfield	Aircraft and Freight	Specified Passenger Terminal	Identified Airport Activities	Other	Total
Return on Assets	2.31%	1.42%	-0.39%	0.95%	5.65%	1.71%
Return on Investments	6.75%	5.55%	0.94%	3.85%	11.00%	5.02%
			Christchurch Internat	ional Airport		
Rate of Return Measure	Airfield	Aircraft and Freight	Specified Passenger Terminal	Identified Airport Activities	Other	Total
Return on Assets	6.54%	3.02%	8.82%	7.07%	5.23%	6.22%
Return on Investments	12.24%	7.09%	15.70%	13.08%	10.27%	11.91%

Question 69: Is there any evidence of excessive or unreasonable rates of return being earned on airfield activities by any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? If so, please provide details. How do the rates of return earned on airfield activities compare to that earned for other airport activities and that for the airport companies as a whole? Please explain.

Overall Rate of Return Adjusted for Asset Revaluation

97. It is recognised that many rates of return are directly affected by the asset valuation method employed by the airport company. In addressing asset valuation earlier in this paper, the differing asset valuation methods of the airports—both between the airports and for each airport over time—were noted. To some extent, all three airports have revalued assets to current valuation. Adjusting data in the published financial accounts of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports to remove the effects of asset valuations adopted by the companies, rates of return, based on estimated historic costs, can be computed for the airports. For the last three financial years, 1998-2000, these rates of return were as follows:

	Auckland	International Airp	port
Rate of Return Measure	2000	1999	1998
Return on Assets	8.93%	9.53%	7.54%
Return on Average Total Assets	9.05%	9.62%	7.69%
Return on Investments	16.62%	16.89%	14.67%
Return on Equity	22.40%	22.02%	18.58%
Return on Average Shareholders' Equity	21.78%	22.94%	15.01%

	Wellington	n International Air	port
Rate of Return Measure	2000	1999	1998
Return on Assets	1.95%	2.54%	3.47%
Return on Average Total Assets	2.04%	2.79%	3.84%
Return on Assets adjusted for work in progress	1.97%	4.05%	4.53%
Return on Investments	5.72%	4.71%	7.25%
Return on Investments adjusted for work in progress	5.76%	7.51%	9.48%
Return on Equity	12.41%	5.93%	7.03%
Return on Average Shareholders' Equity	7.15%	6.11%	7.24%

	Christchurch International Airport		
Rate of Return Measure	2000	1999	1998
Return on Assets	8.01%	7.15%	6.29%
Return on Average Total Assets	7.89%	7.07%	6.73%
Return on Assets adjusted for work in progress	8.10%	7.17%	6.77%
Return on Investments	15.33%	13.33%	12.10%
Return on Investments adjusted for work in progress	15.50%	13.38%	13.04%
Return on Equity	18.00%	12.38%	11.71%
Return on Average Shareholders' Equity	15.41%	12.69%	11.99%

Question 70: Taking into account asset valuations, is there any evidence of excessive or unreasonable rates of return being earned overall by any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? If so, please provide details.

Operational Efficiency

98. Poor profit performance could reflect inefficiency. However, profitability does not necessarily reflect efficiency. A company with market power could allow costs to rise or over-invest and still remain profitable. To solve this problem, benchmarking measures could be found. The prices charged, revenues earned, rates of return earned, and efficiency of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch Airports should be benchmarked against other airports or other businesses with similar risk profiles.

Question 71: What would be appropriate measures to use in benchmarking New Zealand airports? What issues should be considered in comparing New Zealand airports to each other, to overseas airports, and against themselves across time? Why?

Question 72: How should growth in demand (e.g. passenger numbers, aircraft movements, MCTOW landed, tonnes of freight etc) be treated when evaluating the efficiency of operations across time? Why?

Question 73: What entities could or should Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports be benchmarked against? Why? How comparable are these entities? What adjustments may be necessary to make them comparable? Why?

Question 74: Relative to other airports and other businesses with similar risk profiles, is there any evidence of excessive or unreasonable costs, prices charged, revenues earned in respect of airfield activities? In other words, are they efficient or inefficient? To what extent does your answer depend on the allocation of assets, revenues and costs between airport activities? Why?

Current Regulatory Environment

99. In deciding whether it is necessary or desirable for any goods or services to be controlled, the Commission must consider impact of the current regulatory environment.

100. The current regulation of airports in New Zealand has historically relied heavily on (1) the countervailing power of airport users, (2) the obligation under the Airport Authorities Act 1966 of airports to consult with users, (3) the competition standards imposed by the Commerce Act, and (4) the implicit threat of price control under the Commerce Act. Under this regime, varying outcomes have occurred. In the case of Wellington International Airport, protracted litigation with the airlines occurred in the early 1990s over landing charges. In recent years, a deed relating to charges and services (landing and terminal) has been agreed between the airport company and major airlines. A commercial agreement was also reached between Auckland International Airport and international airlines in respect of a terminal services charge for its international terminal building. No commercial agreement has been reached in respect of landing charges at Auckland or Christchurch International Airports.

Question 75: Historically, has the requirement to consult users constrained the behaviour of any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports, or have they been able to exercise market power? What is your experience of consultation? How effective is it? What are its limitations? How does the existence of countervailing power (if any) affect consultation?

Question 76: Historically, has the threat of price control under the Commerce Act constrained the behaviour of any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? Have the general competition provisions of the Commerce Act such as s 36 constrained the behaviour of any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? Please provide examples.

- 101. Recent legislative changes have expanded, and arguably strengthened, the regulation applying to airports. The Airport Authorities Amendment Act 1997 came into force on 26 November 1998 and amended the Airport Authorities Act 1966. The 1997 Amendment divided what was considered the non-contestable business of airport companies into three identified airport activities (airfield, aircraft and freight, and specified passenger terminal). It also introduced specific requirements in respect of these activities, most importantly the following:
 - A requirement that airport companies consult with substantial customers on charges before they are fixed or altered, and at least every five years.
 - A transitional requirement that airport companies consult (within a year of commencement of the 1997 Amendment) with substantial customers on charges that they had not consulted on in the last five years.

- 102. The 1997 Amendment also provided for additional obligations in respect of "specified airport companies"; currently limited to Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports. These companies are also required to consult on any identified capital expenditure (in relation to identified airport activities) that equates to more than 20% of the value of their assets.
- 103. As a result of these amended requirements to consult, in the last year, both Auckland and Christchurch International Airports have consulted with substantial customers in respect of charges. Wellington International Airport did not consult with its customers, other than required under its deed, as it had consulted them within the last five years. Wellington International Airport is to commence consultation with substantial customers on 1 July 2001 with a view to determining new charges to apply from 1 July 2002.
 - After its consultation, Auckland International Airport decided to increase its landing charges by 8.5% from 1 September 2000 (followed by further 5% increases in 2001 and 2002) and its Airport Development Charge by \$2 from 1 October 2000. In response, Air New Zealand has commenced legal action challenging the consultation process that led to the increased charges.
 - After its consultation, Christchurch International Airport introduced new airport (landing and terminal) charges effective 1 January 2001. There was no overall increase or decrease in charges—charges for domestic aircraft generally increased, while charges for international aircraft fell. Prices have been set for the next three years, with no further increases over the period. There has been no change to the international passenger departure charge.

Question 77: Further to your response to question 75, has the recent consultation changed your views in respect of consultation? Is it any more effective? Do the amended requirements to consult further constrain the behaviour of any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? Have they caused a change in behaviour? What is your experience of the recent consultation? How effective was it? What were its limitations?

- 104. In addition, the Ministry of Transport has developed the Airport Authorities (Airport Companies Information Disclosure) Regulations 1999 which apply to financial statements prepared on or after 1 January 2000. The first disclosures for the 2000 financial year have recently been released by Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports. In summary, the regulations require specified airport companies to disclose the following information:
 - Segmented financial accounts for identified airport activities.
 - Passenger charges and charges for identified airport activities; and the methodology used to determine the charges.
 - Basis for allocating assets to identified airport activities.

- Details of asset revaluations and any reports on which revaluations were based.
- Operating costs of identified airport activities.
- Weighted average cost of capital (WACC) and the methodology and calculations used to determine WACC.
- Numbers of passenger and aircraft movements.
- Interruptions to services.
- Number of people employed in identified airport activities.

Question 78: Are the information disclosure regulations likely to change the behaviour of any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports in the future? What is the impact of greater information disclosure? In particular, how is greater disclosure likely to affect the countervailing power, if any, of users? Is it likely to prove useful in consultation in the future?

Charges Imposed by Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports

- 105. The charges currently imposed by Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports vary between the airports, but include the following charges: landing, aircraft parking, terminal services, airport development, public car parking, duty free, and utilities. These charges are variously imposed on airlines, passengers and other airport users. Landing charges and aircraft parking charges relate to airfield activities. ¹² The Commission is looking at all airport charges as part of its assessment of the allocations of assets, revenues, and costs between activities.
- 106. Landing and other charges, and aeronautical revenues, of the airports can be examined relative to the operational statistics of the airports. Benchmarks may include passenger movements, aircraft movements, runway length, area of runway, hectares of land, and employee numbers. Charges can also be examined relative to other airports with similar characteristics.

Question 79: Given your responses to questions 25-78, is there any evidence of excessive or unreasonable charging for airfield activities currently at any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airports? Is there any evidence of exercise of market power? To what extent does your answer depend on the allocation of assets, revenues and costs between airport activities? Please provide reasons?

¹² Refer to Appendix 2 for schedules of current airfield charges at the airports.

Question 80: Do your concerns—indicated in response to question 79—in respect of charges for airfield activities (if any) relate to (1) the overall level of charges, (2) the relativity between them, (3) the types of charges, or (4) the methodology by which the charges are determined? Do you have any additional concerns in respect of charges for airfield activities? Why?

Question 81: Should any of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch International Airport change the way that they charge for airfield activities? If so, how? What are the relative merits of the alternative methods?

Regulation of Airports Internationally

107. Internationally, regulation of airports varies—both between airports in the same country, and between countries. To some extent, the regulation adopted depends on the ownership of the airports—whether they are under private or government ownership. The various forms of regulation adopted include price control, which applies to some airports in both the United Kingdom and Australia.

Question 82: What lessons can be learned from overseas regulation of airports? Please explain.

Whether Control Should be Recommended

- 108. The first part of the s 54 Notice asks the Commission to report on the following:
 - A whether there is evidence that airfield activities {as defined in the Airport Authorities Amendment Act 1997} provided by the three major international airports (Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch) are supplied or acquired in a market in which competition is limited or is likely to be lessened; and it {is} necessary or desirable for the prices of these goods or services to be controlled in accordance with the {Commerce} Act in the interests of users, or consumers, or as the case may be, suppliers;

Question 83: Given the "conditions, tests or thresholds" identified for recommending that goods or services be controlled under Part IV of the Commerce Act 1986, and based on your responses to questions 25-82, is control of airfield activities necessary or desirable in the interests of users, consumers or suppliers? For which of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch Airports, if any, is it necessary or desirable? Please explain why.

- 109. The second part of the s 54 Notice asks the Commission to report on the following:
 - B whether market conditions are such that the Commission believes that {the Minister} should recommend to the Governor-General that he make an Order in Council under section 53 of the {Commerce} Act invoking price controls over charges for airfield activities at the three major international airports.

- 2. If the Commission is of the view that price control should be introduced, to which (i) regions, areas, or localities in New Zealand; (ii) quantities, qualities, grades, or classes; and (iii) different persons or classes of persons, should price control be applied?
- 110. The s 54 Notice asks that the Commission consider and report on whether "market conditions are such" that control should be imposed. In reporting on this part of the s 54 Notice, the Commission intends to consider the costs and benefits of control, and how effective control of airfield activities would be, in order to ascertain the impact of any controls on current market conditions, and, therefore, whether "market conditions are such" that control should be imposed.

Question 84: How should an assessment of the costs and benefits of control be conducted? What are the factors that need to be considered? What weight should be given to the various factors? Please explain.

- 111. There is considerable experience world-wide in respect of price control, both in respect of airports and other industries. There is also a substantial amount of literature available on the subject, particularly discussing the advantages of various methods, and the costs and benefits of control itself. Generally, one of three methods of control is used: (cost of service) rate of return regulation, price capping, or sliding scale regulation.
- 112. The price control method formerly used in New Zealand, and still used in the US today for utilities, was a cost of service (or rate of return) approach in which price rises or falls are not allowed unless they can be justified by cost increases or decreases which have depressed the enterprise's rate of return. In the UK and Australia a price-cap (or index-based) approach is currently used for various utilities. Recently a sliding-scale rate of return approach has been discussed, which combines a price cap with a limit on the rate of return which a company can earn.

Question 85: What would be the costs and benefits of the various price control approaches in general? How do these compare to the costs and benefits of other forms of regulation in general?

Question 86: What would be the costs and benefits of invoking controls over airfield activities at each of Auckland, Wellington and Christchurch International Airports? How does this compare to the costs and benefits of the current regulatory regime?

113. As noted earlier in this paper, airport activities are integrated. Airfield activities are only one of a number of potentially non-contestable activities over which airport companies may have market

power. In this regard, the Commission needs to consider how effective control would be if it was limited to airfield activities.

Question 87: How effective would control be if it were just limited to airfield activities? Could the control of airfield activities leave incentives for airports to charge monopoly prices, or offer a lower of quality of service, for non-airfield activities? In other words, would it allow controls to be evaded? How could such problems, if any, be prevented? Are any of the concerns identified more or less important at any of the three airports? Please explain.

Question 88: Given your responses to questions 83, 86 and 87; are market conditions such that the Commission should recommend the introduction of controls on airfield activities? Please explain why.

Question 89: If your response to question 88 is yes, to which of Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch Airports? Specifically, to which (i) regions, areas or localities in New Zealand; (ii) quantities, qualities, grades or classes; and (iii) different persons or classes of persons should controls be applied? Please explain why.

Operation of any Control

114. Section 55 of the Commerce Act states that controlled goods or services can only be supplied in accordance with an authorisation from the Commission in terms of Part V of the Act, unless there is an undertaking in effect. Section 70 (as to be amended) provides the methods by which the Commission may authorise the prices, revenues, and the quality standards in respect of the supply of controlled goods or services. Earlier in this paper, the current and proposed provisions of s 70 were addressed, in looking at the definition of control.

115. The last part of the s 54 Notice asks:

If price control was introduced (i) what form of price control would the Commission apply; (ii) and why; (iii) how would the Commission operate this form of price control; and (iv) what time and/or in what conditions should price control end?

116. The Commission considers that it need only report on this part of the s 54 Notice if it is making a recommendation that price control be invoked. Parties who consider that controls should be recommended (answered yes to question 89) should respond to these questions. Parties who consider that controls should not be recommended (answered no to question 89) may still wish to respond to the remaining questions without prejudice to their response to question 89 and earlier

questions. Where applicable, parties will have further opportunity to make submissions on this point after the Commission has released its draft report.

Question 90: If the control of airfield activities were to be recommended, what form should it take? What methods or formulae, if any should be used? What conditions should be imposed and how might they differ depending on the circumstances of suppliers or the nature of markets? Please explain.

Question 91: On what time and/or under what conditions might any controls end?

Service Quality Standards

117. A price controlled firm might seek to evade price control by lowering costs through an erosion of service standards, or by raising prices of services not subject to price control. Part V of the Commerce Act may be amended to allow the Commission to impose quality standards on controlled goods and services by, for example, creating incentives to improve or maintain the quality of the goods or services supplied.

Question 92: Should service quality standards be imposed in addition to controls on prices or revenues? What types of service quality standards could be imposed when goods or services are controlled? How would these differ between activities? Please explain why.

Investment

118. A firm under the threat of having price control imposed on them might have incentives to undertake unnecessary investments.

Question 93: Where controls are imposed, how should any historic over-investment or "gold-plating" be taken into account? Please explain.

119. Also, when a firm is initially price controlled, it might have incentives to amend any plans for future investment.

Question 94: Where controls are imposed, how would it impact on any existing investment intentions? Please explain.

120. In addition, a price controlled firm might seek to evade price control by making investment decisions simply so as to be allowed to increase prices.

Question 95: Where controls are imposed, should any limitation be imposed on new investment? If so, what sort of limitations? Please explain why.

CONCLUSION

121. The Commission stresses that the issues identified in this paper are not intended to limit submissions from interested parties. This paper aims only to provide guidance for initial submissions. Interested parties will have the opportunity to make further submissions once the Commission has released its draft report. The Commission looks forward to receiving initial submissions by 27 April 2001. Submissions should be sent to

Price Control Study of Airfield Activities Commerce Commission P O Box 2351 Wellington

Or by fax to (04) 471-0771 or E-mail to <u>airports@comcom.govt.nz</u>.

APPENDIX 1

PUBLISHED FINANCIAL ACCOUNTS OF THE AIRPORTS

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE								15 months				
For the period ended	Jun-00 \$000	Jun-99 \$000	Jun-98 \$000	Jun-97 \$000	Jun-96 \$000	Jun-95 \$000	Jun-94 \$000	Jun-93 \$000	Mar-92 \$000	Mar-91 \$000	Mar-90 \$000	Mar-89 \$000
REVENUE	•	•	*****	•	****	*****	****	****	•	****	•	****
Airport Development Charge	25,499	24,055	23,176	24,534	22,478	20,674	19,297	22,036	12,748	12,181	11,857	10,784
Landing Charges	45,897	44,902	42,644	41,697	41,318	37,551	33,477	40,959	30,935	32,098	30,514	25,490
Terminal Services Charges	13,599	14,433	13,751	11,977	8,965	7,822	7,676	7,843	5,349	5,157	7,463	4,510
Rental Income	16,999	16,037	14,833	11,378	9,225	8,366	8,316	10,458	8,202	7,824	5,804	3,987
Carpark Income	11,899	9,622	8,343	7,027	6,237	5,850	5,224	5,727	4,547	4,712	4,643	4,314
Concession Income	50,997	46,506	41,563	34,634	34,042	30,999	25,375	29,381	18,008	16,805	16,086	10,850
Utilities and General	5,100	4,811	10,198	8,827	7,666	6,184	6,203	8,092	9,361	10,136	6,551	5,425
OPERATING REVENUE	169,990	160,366	154,509	140,074	129,930	117,446	105,568	124,496	89,149	88,914	82,919	65,359
INTEREST INCOME	110	207	477	288	1,253	1,119	1,047	1,589	2,862	3,314	1,543	637
TOTAL REVENUE	170,100	160,573	154,986	140,362	131,183	118,565	106,616	126,085	92,011	92,228	84,462	65,996
EXPENSES												
Audit Fees	76	45	171	46	36	36	36	37	39	38	34	36
Depreciation	29,488	30,094	31,857	27,859	21,712	19,415	16,874	24,187	16,033	15,541	12,288	10,845
Directors' Fees	19	292	175	135	121	110	110	138	110	110	100	96
Repairs, Maintenance and Supplies	17,908	20,399	18,521	19,909	18,731	15,538	14,837	19,750	13,339	15,996	14,103	12,845
Utilities and General	8,446	8,304	7,162	4,440	4,861	5,241	5,166	4,580	3,482	2,413	2,489	2,200
Rates, Tax and Insurance	1,885	1,943	2,098	2,286	2,189	2,282	2,163	2,159	1,976	4,067	3,744	3,449
Staff and Associated Costs	17,908	16,513	15,419	15,480	13,517	12,281	11,774	15,752	12,289	9,828	9,298	8,920
Interest	18,632	19,754	12,774	10,741	9,170	7,990	9,110	13,357	14,485	19,786	20,347	21,077
TOTAL EXPENSES	94,361	97,343	88,177	80,896	70,337	62,893	60,070	79,960	61,753	67,779	62,404	59,468
Net Profit Before Extraordinaries	75,739	63,230	66,809	59,466	60,846	55,672	46,546	46,125	30,258	24,449	22,058	6,528
Extraordinary Items												
Profit on disposal of subsidiary	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,995	-	-	-	-	-
Costs of Initial Public Offering (IPO)	-	-	(3,536)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NET PROFIT BEFORE TAX (NPBT)	75,739	63,230	63,273	59,466	60,846	55,672	51,541	46,125	30,258	24,449	22,058	6,528
Income Tax	25,005	20,962	22,389	19,277	22,005	21,059	18,589	18,951	12,130	8,713	8,605	2,734
NET PROFIT AFTER TAX (NPAT)	50,734	42,268	40,884	40,189	38,841	34,613	32,952	27,174	18,128	15,736	13,453	3,794
Share of After Tax Profit of Associates	319	148	205	321	189	210	323	91	9	41		
NET PROFIT AFTER TAX INCLUDING ASSOCIATES	51,053	42,416	41,089	40,510	39,030	34,823	33,275	27,265	18,137	15,777	13,453	3,794

CTATEMENT		ITC IN EALIITY
SIAIFWENI	OF MOVEMEN	ITS IN FQUITY

STATEMENT OF MOVEMENTS IN EQUITY												
For the period ended	Jun-00	Jun-99	Jun-98	Jun-97	Jun-96	Jun-95	Jun-94	Jun-93	Mar-92	Mar-91	Mar-90	Mar-89
	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000
EQUITY AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR	510,189	220,051	324,765	308,510	292,895	278,967	259,006	242,891	231,999	222,522	213,794	210,000
Operating Surplus After Tax	51,053	42,416	41,089	40,510	39,030	34,823	33,275	27,265	18,137	15,777	13,453	3,794
Increase in Asset Revaluation Reserve	2,544	281,322	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dividends to Shareholders	(63,840)	(33,600)	(145,803)	(24,255)	(23,415)	(20,895)	(13,314)	(10,920)	(7,245)	(6,300)	(4,725)	-
Prior Period Adjustment - Associated Company	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(230)	-	-	-	-
EQUITY AT THE END OF THE YEAR	499,946	510,189	220,051	324,765	308,510	292,895	278,967	259,006	242,891	231,999	222,522	213,794
OTATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POOLTION												
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION EQUITY												
Share Capital (210 m ordinary shares @ \$1)	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000	210,000
Asset Revaluation Reserve	283,866	281,322	210,000								210,000	
Retained Earnings	6,080	18,867	10,051	114,765	98,510	82,895	68,967	49,006	32,891	21,999	12,522	3,794
Total Equity	499,946	510,189	220,051	324,765	308,510	292,895	278,967	259,006	242,891	231,999	222,522	213,794
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES	100,010	0.0,.00	220,00	02 :,: 00	000,010	202,000	2.0,00.	200,000	2 12,00 1	20.,000		
Long-Term Borrowing (coupon bonds, promissory notes)	290,000	265,800	156,200	153,400	113,500	79,350	87,000	110,000	130,000	152,050	141,495	141,330
Other Term Borrowings	538	537	805	554	526	538	1,256	2,484	4,610	-	-	-
Total Non-Current Liabilities	290,538	266,337	157,005	153,954	114,026	79,888	88,256	112,484	134,610	152,050	141,495	141,330
CURRENT LIABILITIES	,	,	- ,	,	,	-,	,	, -	- ,	- ,		,
Bank Overdraft	1,186	1,029	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	-
Accounts Payable	17,758	13,664	8,851	9,295	8,782	7,061	4,264	1,971	3,596	2,866	2,360	1,973
Provision for Runway Repairs	· -	· -	· -	790	790	790	790	791	283	1,781	· -	· -
Employee Entitlements (Leave Provision)	1,815	1,509	1,532	1,457	1,342	1,347	1,346	1,059	1,138	868	831	1,114
Goods and Services Tax	1,224	466	489	118	50	444	535	686	447	294	864	1,066
Proposed Dividend	21,840	21,420	134,988	13,440	12,600	12,495	8,064	7,770	4,620	3,675	4,725	-
Taxation Payable	1,186	522	1,101	-	35	1,030	715	628	1,178	1,502	1,325	2,734
Fixed Asset Payables and Retentions	5,314	8,779	9,926	13,772	12,417	6,994	2,532	3,333	2,268	-	-	-
Other Payables	516	-	8,425	3,252	1,612	1,505	5,761	3,808	3,443	5,440	4,256	5,330
Total Current Liabilities	50,839	47,389	165,312	42,124	37,628	31,666	24,007	20,046	16,973	16,426	14,361	12,217
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	841,323	823,915	542,368	520,843	460,164	404,449	391,230	391,536	394,474	400,475	378,378	367,341
NON CURRENT ASSETS (@ cost loss accumulated depreciation until	: 1000: from	1000 @ au	rrant valuatio	n)								
NON-CURRENT ASSETS (@ cost less accumulated depreciation unt Freehold Land		313,996	208,397	190,965	179,799	171,156	164,491	158,860	156,916	147,711	134,278	132,310
Buildings	312,939				200,640	136,208	124,498	129,749	121,664	134,025	134,276	
Runways, Taxiways and Aprons	256,808 78,201	271,627 60,985	264,376 50,041	258,024 52,959	200,640 58,797	64,698	69,580	75,441	77,441	81,142	82,593	130,395 83,893
Vehicles and Plant	11,017	10,251	8,072	6,983	8,643	4,177	2,999	3,387	4,904	4,760	62,593 4,961	3,707
Infrastructure	108,990	10,251	0,072	6,983	0,043	4,177	2,999	3,367	4,904	4,700	4,901	3,707
Investment Properties	59,933	50,600	•	-	-	•	-	-	•	-	-	-
·			-	-	447.070	270 200	-	- 007.407	200.005	- 207.000	252.024	250 205
Total Non-Current Assets	827,888	813,083	530,886	508,931	447,879	376,239	361,568	367,437	360,925	367,638	353,934	350,305

Cash was provided from:

Proceeds from Sale of Fixed Assets

Repayment of Associates Advance

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (CONT.) As at	Jun-00 \$000	Jun-99 \$000	Jun-98 \$000	Jun-97 \$000	Jun-96 \$000	Jun-95 \$000	Jun-94 \$000	Jun-93 \$000	Mar-92 \$000	Mar-91 \$000	Mar-90 \$000	Mar-89 \$000
CURRENT ASSETS	*	*	*	*	*	*	*****	****	*	****	****	,
Cash and Bank Balances	-	-	57	108	294	20	284	133	(118)	170	(57)	211
Bank Deposits	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,400	13,700	20,200	23,363	13,018	4,460
NZ Government Stock	-	-	-	-	-	15,000	15,000	-	-	-	-	-
Receivables (@ net realisable value)	7,562	6,936	8,580	6,924	9,314	8,013	5,858	4,855	5,321	5,952	8,087	12,083
Prepayments	3,320	1,258	486	1,136	845	1,092	2,430	1,640	2,486	221	309	189
Inventories	314	186	55	58	54	55	64	68	68	48	45	93
Taxation Receivable	-	-	-	1,588	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Current Assets	11,196	8,380	9,178	9,814	10,507	24,180	26,036	20,396	27,957	29,754	21,402	17,036
Investment in Associates (50% Marriott-AIAL & Waste Resources)	2,239	2,452	2,304	2,098	1,778	4,030	3,626	3,703	5,592	3,083	3,042	-
TOTAL ASSETS	841,323	823,915	542,368	520,843	460,164	404,449	391,230	391,536	394,474	400,475	378,378	367,341
STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS CASHFLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES												
Cash was provided from:												
Receipts from Customers	169,183	161,490	154,742	142,382	128,629	116,905	104,477	124,989	89,646	91,636	86,826	54,954
Interest Received	137	180	477	288	1,572	1,594	1,102	1,562	2,982	2,951	1,512	635
	169,320	161,670	155,219	142,670	130,201	118,499	105,579	126,551	92,628	94,587	88,338	55,589
Cash was applied to:												
Payments to Suppliers and Employees	46,932	50,554	45,032	39,694	37,466	37,171	29,931	41,652	27,778	31,196	27,994	21,987
Interest Paid	21,308	20,115	11,329	10,741	9,242	7,536	9,299	11,379	18,387	7,092	9,311	18,640
Taxes Paid	25,011	21,683	19,834	21,012	23,046	20,828	18,946	19,638	13,096	9,220	11,029	1,455
	93,251	92,352	76,195	71,447	69,754	65,535	58,176	72,669	59,261	47,508	48,334	42,082
Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities	76,069	69,318	79,024	71,223	60,447	52,964	47,403	53,882	33,367	47,079	40,004	13,507
CASHFLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES												

5,110

5,710

9,127

10,077

TOTAL FREIGHT (Tonnes) 191,679

186,270

193,481

189,873

194,635

197,704

175,498

154,562

149,489

148,440

135,706

158,563

AUCKLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT I	IMITED)										
STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS (CONT.) For the period ended Cash was applied to:	Jun-00	Jun-99	Jun-98	Jun-97	Jun-96	Jun-95	Jun-94	Jun-93	Mar-92	Mar-91	Mar-90	Mar-89
Purchase of Fixed Assets and Airport Development Expenditure Advance to/Shares in Associates	44,162	32,929	57,739	88,046	83,794 2,381	31,378 445	13,042 200	33,310	15,095 3,450	29,535	18,792 3,042	14,514
Advance to/onares in Associates	44,162	32,929	57,739	88,046	86,175	31,823	13,242	33,310	18,545	29,535	21,834	14,514
Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities	(43,506)	(32,836)	(57,620)	(87,894)	(86,013)	(31,514)	(7,532)	(32,361)	(8,468)	(29,157)	(21,714)	(14,447)
CASHFLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES Cash was provided from: Term Borrowings	30,700	109,600	2,800	39,900	71,150	-	-	-	141,000	-	67,860	73,470
Cash was applied to: Net Repayment of Borrowings	_	_	-	_	_	2,631	23,000	15,000	153,050	-	77,860	67,860
Re-Purchase of Bonds Dividends Paid	63,420	- 147,168	- 24,255	23,415	37,000 23,310	5,019 16,464	-	5,000 7,770	10,000	7.050	-	-
Dividerius Faid	63,420	147,168	24,255	23,415	60,310	24,114	13,020 36,020	27,770	6,300 169,350	7,350 7,350	77,860	67,860
Net Cash Flows from Financing Activities	(32,720)	(37,568)	(21,455)	16,485	10,840	(24,114)	(36,020)	(27,770)	(28,350)	(7,350)	(10,000)	5,611
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH HELD Add cash at the beginning of the year	(157) (1,029)	(1,086) 57	(51) 108	(186) 294	(14,726) 15,020	(2,664) 17,684	3,851 13,833	(6,249) 20,082	(3,451) 23,533	10,572 12,961	8,290 4,671	4,671
CASH AT END OF THE YEAR	(1,186)	(1,029)	57	108	294	15,020	17,684	13,833	20,082	23,533	12,961	4,671
OPERATIONAL STATISTICS PASSENGER NUMBERS												
Domestic Passengers	3,206,806	- , , -	3,073,405	3,007,320	3,000,625	2,921,438	2,658,048	2,358,029	2,287,003		2,423,292	
International Passengers TOTAL PASSENGERS	4,799,236 8,006,042	4,590,347 7,665,974	4,432,202 7,505,607	4,583,661 7,590,981	4,244,544 7,245,169	3,888,959 6,810,397	3,530,041 6,188,089	3,375,575 5,733,604	3,184,829 5,471,832	2,952,794 5,256,787	2,934,808 5,358,100	2,804,401 5,104,247
AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS (Scheduled, Freight and GA)												
Domestic Movements	120,105	114,738	113,142	112,484	109,693	107,112	97,201	90,283	77,081	73,368	65,484	58,354
International Movements	27,664	25,306	24,505	23,938	22,562	20,452	18,353	18,501	18,139	18,122	17,654	16,805
TOTAL AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS	147,769	140,044	137,647	136,422	132,255	127,564	115,554	108,784	95,220	91,490	83,138	75,159
<u>FREIGHT</u>												
Domestic	36,588	34,688	35,681	39,722	39,121	37,642	31,616	27,691	32,621	34,016	27,972	29,994
International	155,091	151,582	157,770	151,624	154,084	160,062	143,882	126,871	125,942	115,473	120,468	105,712

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE		9 months							;	8.5 months
For the period ended	Mar-00	Mar-99	Jun-98	Jun-97	Jun-96	Jun-95	Jun-94	Jun-93	Jun-92	Jun-91
	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000
<u>REVENUE</u>										
Landing Charge	12,985	10,717	14,241	13,448	13,272	12,629	12,158	11,521	11,678	6,539
Terminal Services Charge	2,841	1,616	1,877	1,686	1,516	1,477	1,382	2,045	2,433	1,712
Rental, Lease and Concession	7,276	4,135	5,277	4,977	4,991	4,814	4,133	3,944	3,658	2,764
Airport Development Charge	3,698	2,683	2,929	2,389	2,168	1,929	1,769	1,662	1,552	965
Carparking Services	3,948	2,225	2,954	2,873	2,584	2,442	2,276	2,048	1,651	1,178
Other	500	376	408	478	514	584	495	178	501	159
OPERATING REVENUE	31,248	21,752	27,686	25,851	25,045	23,875	22,213	21,398	21,473	13,317
INTEREST INCOME	24	39	41	14	9	48	765	167	229	81
TOTAL REVENUE	31,272	21,791	27,727	25,865	25,054	23,923	22,978	21,565	21,702	13,398
<u>EXPENSES</u>		_								
Audit Fees	32	32	32	26	26	31	31	32	21	25
Business Development Costs	573	883	491	478	540	-	-	-	-	-
Depreciation	6,579	3,594	5,098	4,671	4,477	3,964	3,728	2,105	1,975	1,338
Directors' Fees	165	103	118	115	115	105	105	101	105	74
Employee Remuneration and Benefits	4,709	4,212	5,330	4,761	4,292	3,911	3,155	2,685	2,317	1,413
Interest	8,445	4,361	3,817	2,970	2,097	2,472	1,736	2,381	2,797	2,950
Rental and Leasing Costs	141	119	132	110	66	-	-	-	-	-
Repairs and Maintenance	1,424	742	776	731	742	1,306	769	1,152	976	673
Other Operating Costs	5,109	3,587	5,213	5,926	5,387	4,595	6,383	8,413	8,085	5,088
TOTAL EXPENSES	27,177	17,633	21,007	19,788	17,742	16,384	15,907	16,869	16,276	11,561
NET PROFIT BEFORE TAX (NPBT)	4,095	4,158	6,720	6,077	7,312	7,539	7,071	4,696	5,426	1,837
Income Tax	(185)	(29)	2,335	2,219	2,744	3,129	2,913	1,750	2,164	626
NET PROFIT AFTER TAX (NPAT)	4,280	4,187	4,385	3,858	4,568	4,410	4,158	2,946	3,262	1,211
-	4,200	4,101	4,303	3,030	4,300	7,710	4,130	2,340	3,202	1,211
STATEMENT OF MOVEMENTS IN EQUITY										
EQUITY AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR	4,280	4,187	108,106	105,791	100,509	71,940	70,625	59,718	57,761	56,550
Operating Surplus After Tax	149	-	4,385	3,858	4,568	4,410	4,158	2,946	3,262	1,211
Increase in Revaluation Reserve	(47,500)	-	-	-	2,541	25,923	-	7,961	-	-
Dividends to Shareholders	(7,500)	-	(961)	(1,543)	(1,827)	(1,764)	(2,843)	-	(1,305)	
EQUITY AT THE END OF THE YEAR	65,146	115,717	111,530	108,106	105,791	100,509	71,940	70,625	59,718	57,761

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

As at	Mar-00 \$000	Mar-99 \$000	Jun-98 \$000	Jun-97 \$000	Jun-96 \$000	Jun-95 \$000	Jun-94 \$000	Jun-93 \$000	Jun-92 \$000	Jun-91 \$000
EQUITY	φ000	\$000	\$000	\$000	φ000	φυσο	\$000	φυυυ	\$000	\$000
Share Capital (56.55 m ordinary shares @ \$1)	9,050	56,550	56,550	56,550	56,550	56,550	56,550	56,550	56,550	56,550
Revaluation Reserve	36,499	36,398	36,949	37,026	36,926	34,209	8,265	7,961	-	-
Retained Earnings	19,597	22,769	18,031	14,530	12,315	9,750	7,125	6,114	3,168	1,211
Total Equity	65,146	115,717	111,530	108,106	105,791	100,509	71,940	70,625	59,718	57,761
· · ·		_								
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES										
Bank Loans	118,700	105,492	75,000	47,000	36,900	27,500	28,400	31,000	30,450	30,450
Subordinated Debt	55,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rental in Advance	-	-	-	146	323	598	1,235	706	-	-
Land Acquisitions	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,500	-	-	
Total Non-Current Liabilities	173,700	105,492	75,000	47,146	37,223	28,098	31,135	31,706	30,450	30,450
OUDDENT LIADUITIES										
CURRENT LIABILITIES Park Constant (Adverser)	4 4 4 7	4.044	050	4.000	500					
Bank Overdraft/Advance	4,147	1,641	252	4,300	526	-	-	-	-	-
Trade Creditors	2,552	5,920	1,873	1,341	1,231	651	979 660	1,584	705	439
Accruals and Other Liabilities	3,856	333	4,620	1,885	1,568	1,728		524	1,148	1,258
Employee Entitlements	340	824	553	404	307	-	-	-	202	276
Rental in Advance	-	-	-	-	-	-	618	664	-	-
Goods and Services Tax	-	-	-	-	-	4 500	93	188	897	349
Land Acquisitions	-	-	-	-	-	1,500	6,634	-	-	-
Taxation Payable	-	-	135	40	-	-	-	319	-	201
Proposed Dividend				768	893	838	976		1,305	
Total Current Liabilities	10,895	8,718	7,433	8,738	4,525	4,717	9,960	3,279	4,257	2,523
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	249,741	229,927	193,963	163,990	147,539	133,324	113,035	105,610	94,425	90,734
-		_								
NON-CURRENT ASSETS (unless stated, @ cost less accumulated de	epreciation un	til 1993; from	1993 @ curre	nt valuation)						
Land	48,565	48,924	47,788	47,203	47,125	49,199	39,795	34,813	47,083	44,840
Land Improvements	64,952	70,271	70,359	67,766	65,977	50,303	40,688	36,930	14,534	15,323
Buildings	116,763	25,922	26,667	17,788	17,793	22,774	18,502	17,743	19,001	21,328
Vehicles, Plant and Equipment	10,497	5,470	5,635	5,774	5,787	6,767	6,518	5,514	4,239	4,412
Capital work in progress (@ cost)	1,330	74,485	38,172	21,948	7,778	1,501	-	-	-	-
Deferred Tax (@cost)	-	-	-	-	-	50	32	13	(2,106)	75
Total Non-Current Assets	242,107	225,072	188,621	160,479	144,461	130,595	105,535	95,013	82,751	85,978

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION (CONT.)

As at	Mar-00	Mar-99	Jun-98	Jun-97	Jun-96	Jun-95	Jun-94	Jun-93	Jun-92	Jun-91
	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000
CURRENT ASSETS										
Cash and Bank	1	2	400	333	2	221	410	206	54	3
Short Term Deposits	-	-	-	-	-	-	887	-	3,250	1,548
Prepayments	1,624	505	1,214	631	221	252	935	981	163	123
Trade Accounts Receivable	3,785	2,511	2,734	2,248	2,168	1,882	1,702	9,392	8,207	2,837
Sundry Accounts Receivable	68	1,157	994	299	297	226	3,497	18	-	245
Taxation Receivable	2,156	680	-	-	390	148	69	-	-	
Total Current Assets	7,634	4,855	5,342	3,511	3,078	2,729	7,500	10,597	11,674	4,756
TOTAL ASSETS	249,741	229,927	193,963	163,990	147,539	133,324	113,035	105,610	94,425	90,734
STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS CASHFLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES										
Cash was provided from:										
Receipts from Customers	29,165	21,907	26,757	25,459	24,435	25,756	26,122	19,938	17,750	10,329
Interest Received	24	39	41	41	9	48	764	167	216	81
	29,189	21,946	26,798	25,500	24,444	25,804	26,886	20,105	17,966	10,410
Cash was applied to:										
Payments to Suppliers and Employees	6,727	9,864	8,458	11,564	10,625	10,631	10,938	11,300	11,691	5,604
Interest Paid	7,567	4,114	4,618	3,304	1,817	1,914	1,715	3,449	3,040	2,165
Taxes Paid	1,383	454	2,638	1,818	3,145	3,226	3,414	4,260	562	849
-	15,677	14,432	15,714	16,686	15,587	15,771	16,067	19,009	15,293	8,618
Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities	13,512	7,514	11,084	8,814	8,857	10,033	10,819	1,096	2,673	1,792
CASHFLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES										
Cash was provided from:										
Proceeds from Sale of Fixed Assets	18	22	383	218	20	2,083	1,359	-	-	-
Cash was applied to:										
Purchase of Fixed Assets	28,478	36,036	32,288	19,716	16,585	10,390	6,620	3,439	917	87,241
Capitalised Interest	767	3,779	1,335	1,191	665	-	-	-	1,705	1,548
	29,245	39,815	33,623	20,907	17,250	10,390	6,620	3,439	2,622	88,789
Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities	(29,227)	(39,793)	(33,240)	(20,689)	(17,230)	(8,307)	(5,261)	(3,439)	(2,622)	(88,789)
-										

STATEMENT	ΩF	CASHEL	OWS	(CONT)
	VI.	CASIII L	.0443	

For the period ended	Mar-00 \$000	Mar-99 \$000	Jun-98 \$000	Jun-97 \$000	Jun-96 \$000	Jun-95 \$000	Jun-94 \$000	Jun-93 \$000	Jun-92 \$000	Jun-91 \$000
CASHFLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES	\$000	\$000	Ф 000	\$000	\$000	\$000	Ф 000	\$000	\$000	\$000
Cash was provided from:										
Proceeds of Bank Deposits and Bills	68,208	30,492	28,000	10,100	9,400	-	-	34,250	-	87,000
Cash was applied to:										
Repayment of Loans	-	-	-	-	-	900	2,600	-	-	-
Repayment of Debentures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30,450	-	-
Repurchase of Shares	47,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dividends Paid	7,500	-	1,729	1,668	1,772	1,902	1,867	1,305	-	-
<u>-</u>	55,000		1,729	1,668	1,772	2,802	4,467	31,755	-	
Net Cash Flows from Financing Activities	13,208	30,492	26,271	8,432	7,628	(2,802)	(4,467)	2,495	-	87,000
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH HELD	(2,507)	(1,787)	4,115	(3,443)	(745)	(1,076)	1,091	152	51	3
Add cash at the beginning of the year	(1,639)	148	(3,967)	(524)	221	1,297	206	54	3	-
CASH AT END OF THE YEAR	(4,146)	(1,639)	148	(3,967)	(524)	221	1,297	206	54	3
OPERATIONAL STATISTICS										
PASSENGER NUMBERS										
Domestic Passengers	3,168,398	2,345,000	3,116,000	2,994,000	2,927,000	2,994,000	2,834,000	2,659,000	2,485,000	2,233,000
International Passengers	444,523	336,000	388,000	354,000	326,000	289,000	258,000	252,000	251,000	232,000
TOTAL PASSENGERS_	3,612,921	2,681,000	3,504,000	3,348,000	3,253,000	3,283,000	3,092,000	2,911,000	2,736,000	2,465,000
AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS										
Domestic Movements	109,412	103,115	112,283	112,961	98,671	92,692	89,119	86,447	88,371	
International Movements	4,830	5,221	4,154	3,847	3,190	2,696	2,317	2,065	1,944	
TOTAL COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS	114,242	108,336	116,437	116,808	101,861	95,388	91,436	88,512	90,315	-
Other (General Aviation)	15,436	13,540	15,511	15,693	13,514	16,234	17,437	15,327	13,506	
TOTAL AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS	129,678	121,876	131,948	132,501	115,375	111,622	108,873	103,839	103,821	-

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE										I5 months		
For the period ended	Jun-00	Jun-99	Jun-98	Jun-97	Jun-96	Jun-95	Jun-94	Jun-93	Jun-92	Jun-91	Mar-90	Mar-89
	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000
REVENUE												
Landing Charge Component of Airport Charge	10,081	11,299	11,048	11,126	11,053	10,585	9,804	9,244	8,505	10,939	8,939	9,874
Terminal Component of Airport Charge	9,394	8,817	8,313	8,462	8,279	7,871	7,367	6,947	6,391	8,219	6,712	*
Passenger Departure Charge	8,160	7,430	7,020	6,022	4,678	4,299	3,980	3,469	2,357	2,590	2,205	1,907
Lease Rentals and Concessions	19,123	16,561	14,720	13,369	12,279	11,759	10,919	9,855	9,441	9,889	6,992	5,426
Vehicle Parking	2,931	2,553	2,460	2,272	2,076	1,759	1,565	1,380	1,135	1,453	1,118	1,105
Antarctic Visitor Centre	4,978	4,546	2,719	2,568	2,644	2,710	2,748	2,373	74.4	-	- 004	-
Other	-	-	1,560	1,122	1,057	1,052	754	391	714	985	631	890
Realised Gain on Sale of Fixed Assets		-		-			46					
OPERATING REVENUE	54,667	51,206	47,840	44,941	42,066	40,035	37,183	33,659	28,543	34,075	26,590	19,202
Short Term Bank Deposits	229	129	297	368	271	106	54	46	75	22	294	247
Other Deposits	7	26	340	205	3	71	69	74	96	148	108	931
INTEREST INCOME	236	155	637	573	274	177	123	120	171	170	402	1,178
TOTAL DEVENUE	54.000	54.004	40.477	45 54 4	40.040	10.010	07.000	00.770	00.74.4	04.045	00.000	00.000
TOTAL REVENUE	54,903	51,361	48,477	45,514	42,340	40,212	37,306	33,779	28,714	34,245	26,992	20,380
EXPENSES												
Audit Fees	32	35	30	30	25	25	25	22	23	34	43	20
Depreciation	9,778	9,515	7,532	6,605	4,230	3,680	3,883	3,730	2,690	4,353	3,680	2,695
Directors' Fees	135	124	122	104	95	95	95	84	84	105	84	84
Employee Remuneration	8,336	7,921	7,783	8,068	7,756	7,553	6,923	7,061	6,291	7,317	6,040	5,031
Financing and Interest Costs	5,420	4,959	4,883	3,145	2,424	2,478	2,579	3,236	3,809	6,760	5,119	5,034
Other Administrative Expenses	2,990	3,189	2,863	2,709	2,928	2,254	2,318	2,183	1,633	1,755	1,221	-
Electrictity, Fuel & Oil	1,175	1,157	1,107	811	845	829	828	868	925	1,148	973	-
Maintenance - Buildings & Plant	1,348	2,293	3,265	2,509	1,125	1,050	1,061	1,201	950	938	931	-
Maintenance - Sealed Surfaces	904	690	1,652	887	1,142	987	1,136	1,033	1,009	649	475	-
Other Operating Costs	4,093	3,738	2,982	2,975	2,205	2,260	2,286	1,388	1,015	1,354	1,285	5,901
Movement in Provision for Future Expenses in Resealing Surfaces	806	31	100	(80)	1,007	811	1,177	746	745	931	709	650
TOTAL EXPENSES	35,017	33,652	32,319	27,763	23,782	22,022	22,311	21,552	19,174	25,344	20,560	19,415
Net Profit Before Extraordinaries	19,886	17,709	16,158	17,751	18,558	18,190	14,995	12,227	9,540	8,901	6,432	965
Extraordinary Items	13,000	17,709	10,136	17,731	10,556	10,130	14,330	12,221	3,540	0,901	0,432	900
Provision for Depreciation re Terminal Expansion	-	-	-	8,746	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET PROFIT BEFORE TAX (NPBT)	19,886	17,709	16,158	9,005	18,558	18,190	14,995	12,227	9,540	8,901	6,432	965
Income Tax	6,658	5,553	5,233	3,946	6,471	5,952	5,583	4,174	2,797	3,712	2,800	947
NET PROFIT AFTER TAX (NPAT)	13,228	12,156	10,925	5,059	12,087	12,238	9,412	8,053	6,743	5,189	3,632	18

STATEMENT OF MOVEMENTS IN EQUITY

For the period ended	Jun-00 \$000	Jun-99 \$000	Jun-98 \$000	Jun-97 \$000	Jun-96 \$000	Jun-95 \$000	Jun-94 \$000	Jun-93 \$000	Jun-92 \$000	Jun-91 \$000	Mar-90 \$000	Mar-89 \$000
EQUITY AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR	145,687	114,661	110,302	112,909	84,863	77,521	71,853	66,966	62,872	59,757	57,618	57,600
SURPLUS AND REVALUATIONS	1 10,001	111,001	110,002	112,000	01,000	11,021	7 1,000	00,000	02,072	00,101	07,010	01,000
Operating Surplus After Tax	13,228	12,156	10,925	5,059	12,087	12,238	9,412	8,053	6.743	5,189	3,632	18
Increase in Capital Reserve	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	7	-
Increase in Asset Revaluation Reserve	-	26,128	-	628	20,797	-	-	-	-	-		-
Total Recognised Revenues and Expenses	13,228	38,284	10,925	5,687	32,884	12,238	9,412	8,055	6,743	5,189	3,639	18
Dividends to Shareholders	(37,949)	(7,258)	(6,566)	(8,294)	(4,838)	(4,896)	(3,744)	(3,168)	(2,649)	(2,074)	(1,500)	-
EQUITY AT THE END OF THE YEAR	120,966	145,687	114,661	110,302	112,909	84,863	77,521	71,853	66,966	62,872	59,757	57,618
STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION	-											
EQUITY	57.000	57.000	57 000	57.000	57.000	57.000	57.000	57.000	57.000	57.000	F7.000	57 000
Share Capital (57.6 m ordinary shares @ \$1)	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600	57,600
Asset Revaluation Reserve	47,483	47,483	21,355 366	21,425	20,797 366	366	-	-	- 7	- 7	- 7	-
Capital Reserve Retained Earnings	366 15,517	366 40,238	35,340	366 30,911	34,146	26,897	366 19,555	320 13,933	9,359	5,265	2,150	- 18
Total Equity	120,966	145,687	114,661	110,302	112,909	84,863	77,521	71,853	66,966	62,872	59,757	57,618
Total Equity_	120,900	140,007	114,001	110,302	112,909	04,003	77,521	71,000	00,900	02,072	59,757	57,010
NON-CURRENT LIABILITIES												
90-day Short Term Notes (@ discounted value)	82,606	63,259	70,390	47,149	29,348	31,269	32,746	38,311	39,568	37,224	35,520	35,520
Other Term Borrowings	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	62	1,160	1,209	1,297	-
Total Non-Current Liabilities	82,606	63,259	70,390	47,149	29,348	31,269	32,772	38,373	40,728	38,433	36,817	35,520
CURRENT LIABILITIES												
Trade Creditors	2,893	1,355	1,796	916	1,013	1,200	1,156	1,951	2,517	1,249	2,540	1,911
Construction Creditors	2,093	776	3,001	6,589	526	1,200	1,130	1,951	2,517	1,249	2,340	1,911
Employee Entitlements	666	770 751	725	577	613	560	618	596	519	929	1,004	616
Goods and Services Tax	218	264	127	-	188	186	216	174	47	319	165	814
Accrued Expenses	1,084	1,347	912	2,098	1,341	862	979			-	-	12
Proposed Dividend	3,917	4,147	3,566	4,608	2,362	2,707	1,958	1,670	1,382	461	776	-
Taxation Payable	199	-	-,	-	-	-	180	136	237	1,072	686	47
Current Portion of Term Borrowing	-	-	_	-	-	-	2,031	2,059	2,048	2,043	-	-
Total Current Liabilities	8,977	8,640	10,127	14,788	6,043	5,515	7,138	6,586	6,750	6,073	5,171	3,400
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES	212,549	217,586	195,178	172,239	148,300	121,647	117,431	116,812	114,444	107,378	101,745	96,538

As at	Jun-00 \$000	Jun-99 \$000	Jun-98 \$000	Jun-97 \$000	Jun-96 \$000	Jun-95 \$000	Jun-94 \$000	Jun-93 \$000	Jun-92 \$000	Jun-91 \$000	Mar-90 \$000	Mar-89 \$000
NON-CURRENT ASSETS (unless stated, @ cost less accumulated of		\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000	\$000
HON CONNENT AGGETO (unicos statea, @ cost less accumulated e	icprediation)											
Land (@ current valuation from 1996)	86,507	83,207	56,756	56,680	55,437	34,440	34,189	33,244	33,214	33,228	32,641	28,564
Buildings	23,527	24,284	24,770	24,034	49,471	49,685	47,125	45,378	43,123	43,036	35,318	35,453
Terminal Facilities	73,685	78,473	71,208	23,237	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sealed Surfaces (after provision for future resealing expenses)	12,557	13,825	11,921	12,553	11,604	13,436	14,624	16,745	17,959	18,635	21,257	20,984
Plant and Equipment	5,207	6,659	6,412	7,451	9,273	10,684	11,122	11,734	7,114	7,512	5,067	3,496
Furniture	1,294	1,106	1,187	1,285	1,895	2,100	1,812	1,741	1,086	1,175	1,569	980
Motor Vehicles	1,863	1,772	2,016	2,196	2,100	2,232	2,625	2,910	855	966	1,294	1,559
Work in Progress	1,768	651	12,505	34,801	10,710	1,701	1,432	1,410	7,632	820	2,502	-
Total Non-Current Assets	206,408	209,977	186,775	162,237	140,490	114,278	112,929	113,162	110,983	105,372	99,648	91,036
CURRENT ASSETS												
Cash	-	-	-	13	9	11	10	7	4	3	2	93
Bank and Short Term Deposits	1,823	2,651	4,275	2,611	2,769	3,255	155	123	269	(1,148)	(1,232)	2,000
Receivables and Prepayments (@ net realisable value)	3,416	3,572	3,189	4,253	4,079	3,183	3,757	3,028	2,680	2,939	3,198	3,263
Taxation Receivable	-	532	193	1,562	321	232	-	-	-	-	-	-
Future Income Tax Benefit	-	-	-	950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Inventories (@ lower of weighted average cost or NRV)												
- Materials	221	228	202	107	115	126	121	117	108	157	87	112
- Retail Stock	604	547 79	459	419	442 75	487	389	328	54	-	- 42	-
- Livestock (@ IRD rates)	77	79	85	87	75	75	70	47	46	55	42	34
Total Current Assets	6,141	7,609	8,403	10,002	7,810	7,369	4,502	3,650	3,161	2,006	2,097	5,502
TOTAL ASSETS	212,549	217,586	195,178	172,239	148,300	121,647	117,431	116,812	114,144	107,378	101,745	96,538
STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS												
CASHFLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES Cash was provided from:												
Receipts from Customers	54,874	50,645	48,449	45,416	41,273	40,082	36,596	33,650	28,656	34,679	27,193	13,777
Interest Received	236	160	632	601	247	723	55	47	75	-	-	1,123
Net GST Received	-	137	849	-	2	-	43	126	-	289	-	435
	55,110	50,942	49,930	46,017	41,522	40,805	36,694	33,823	28,731	34,968	27,193	15,335

STATEMENT OF CASHFLOWS

For the period ended	Jun-00 \$000	Jun-99 \$000	Jun-98 \$000	Jun-97 \$000	Jun-96 \$000	Jun-95 \$000	Jun-94 \$000	Jun-93 \$000	Jun-92 \$000	Jun-91 \$000	Mar-90 \$000	Mar-89 \$000
Cash was applied to:												
Payments to Suppliers and Employees	19,291	18,278	19,335	17,500	15,528	15,351	14,705	13,830	12,783	14,368	10,250	6,119
Financing and Interest Costs	5,211	5,071	4,634	3,048	2,481	2,469	2,617	3,234	3,785	6,457	5,119	5,034
Income Tax Paid	5,927	5,892	2,913	6,137	6,557	6,364	5,539	4,275	3,633	3,326	2,161	900
Net GST Paid	46	-	-	912	-	31	-	-	271	-	574	-
	30,475	29,241	26,882	27,597	24,566	24,215	22,861	21,339	20,472	24,151	18,104	12,053
Net Cash Flows from Operating Activities	24,635	21,701	23,048	18,420	16,956	16,590	13,833	12,484	8,259	10,817	9,089	3,282
CASHFLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES												
Cash was provided from:												
Proceeds from Sale of Fixed Assets	46	39	175	74	89	36	157	169	32	49	52	-
Cash was applied to:												
Purchase of Fixed Assets	6,677	9,556	37,205	30,401	10,428	5,844	4,870	7,873	7,145	12,051	13,037	94,310
Net Cash Flows Used in Investing Activities	(6,631)	(9,517)	(37,030)	(30,327)	(10,339)	(5,808)	(4,713)	(7,704)	(7,113)	(12,002)	(12,985)	(94,310)
CASHFLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES												
Cash was provided from:												
Term Borrowings	19,347	-	23,241	17,801	29,348	-	-	4,940	2,043	39,224	1,297	93,120
Cash was applied to:												
Bank Bill Facility Repaid	-	-	-	-	31,269	3,477	5,565	5,883	-	-	-	-
Other Term Borrowings Repaid	-	7,131	-	-	-	57	64	1,100	43	35,565	-	-
Dividends Paid	38,179	6,677	7,608	6,048	5,184	4,147	3,456	2,880	1,728	2,389	724	-
	38,179	13,808	7,608	6,048	36,453	7,681	9,085	9,863	1,771	37,954	724	
Net Cash Flows from Financing Activities	(18,832)	(13,808)	15,633	11,753	(7,105)	(7,681)	(9,085)	(4,923)	272	1,270	573	93,120
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH HELD	(828)	(1,624)	1,651	(154)	(488)	3,101	35	(143)	1,418	85	(3,323)	2,092
Add cash at the beginning of the year	2,651	4,275	2,624	2,778	3,266	165	130	273	(1,145)	(1,230)	2,093	-
CASH AT END OF THE YEAR	1,823	2,651	4,275	2,624	2,778	3,266	165	130	273	(1,145)	(1,230)	2,092
		·										

OPERATIONAL STATISTICS

For the period ended	Jun-00	Jun-99	Jun-98	Jun-97	Jun-96	Jun-95	Jun-94	Jun-93	Jun-92	Jun-91	Mar-90	Mar-89
PASSENGER NUMBERS												
Domestic Passengers	3,017,888	2,737,654	2,758,571	2,845,008	2,884,497	2,919,119	2,701,578	2,432,295	2,239,076	2,251,605	2,525,874	2,371,264
International Passengers	1,066,495	971,119	911,668	953,270	848,521	777,842	720,914	632,639	565,657	539,802	556,263	544,367
TOTAL PASSENGERS	4,084,383	3,708,773	3,670,239	3,798,278	3,733,018	3,696,961	3,422,492	3,064,934	2,804,733	2,791,407	3,082,137	2,915,631
AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS												
Domestic Movements (excluding General Aviation)	61,554	78,451	74,489	73,754	83,234	76,323	72,842	68,411	65,819	67,306	68,335	-
International Movements (excluding General Aviation)	7,338	7,086	5,752	5,127	4,696	4,413	3,940	3,697	4,095	4,086	4,033	-
TOTAL COMMERCIAL AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS	68,892	85,537	80,241	78,881	87,930	80,736	76,782	72,108	69,914	71,392	72,368	
General Aviation	86,228	66,131	56,991	68,900	44,203	52,858	45,933	43,368	50,238	53,762	52,841	-
TOTAL AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS	155,120	151,668	137,232	147,781	132,133	133,594	122,715	115,476	120,152	125,154	125,209	-

APPENDIX 2

SCHEDULES OF CURRENT CHARGES OF THE AIRPORTS

AIRCRAFT CHARGES SCHEDULE

Effective From 1 September 2000

1. LANDING CHARGES (BASED ON MCTOW)

(i) Aircraft under 3,000kg \$13.56 per landing - operators with 25 flights or more per month

\$27.13 per landing - operators with less than 25 flights per month

(ii) Aircraft 3,000kg and higher but under 6,000kg \$0.0047 per kg - operators with 25 flights or more per month

\$27.13 per landing - operators with less than 25 flights per month

(iii) Aircraft 6,000kg and higher but under 40,000kg \$0.0071 per kg

(iv) Aircraft 40,000kg and higher \$0.0114 per kg - International flights

\$0.0117 per kg - Domestic flights

- * This charge covers the use of runway, taxiway and apron areas (excluding parking) and the services of the Rescue Fire Unit.
- * A 50% discount applies to training flights (multiple touch-and-go training flights are counted as one landing) for aircraft over 6,000kg.

2. PARKING CHARGES (NON-SCHEDULED FLIGHTS ONLY)

- (a) Aircraft under 6,000kg MCTOW
 - (i) Parking up to 6 hours No Charge
 - (ii) Parking in excess of 6 hours \$50.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from time of landing
- (b) Aircraft 6,000kg and higher but under 40,000kg MCTOW
 - (i) Parking up to 6 hours No Charge
 - (ii) Parking, between 6 hours and 5 days \$75.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from time of landing
 - (iii) Parking between 6 and 10 days \$100.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from day 6 to day 10
 - (iv) Parking in excess of 10 days \$150.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from day 10 onwards
- (c) Aircraft 40,000kg MCTOW and higher
 - (i) Parking up to 6 hours No Charge
 - (ii) Parking between 6 hours and 5 days \$100.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from time of landing
 - (iii) Parking between 6 and 10 days \$250.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from day 6 to day 10
 - (iv) Parking in excess of 10 days \$500.00 for even. 24 hour period or part period from day 10 onwards

3. INTERNATIONAL TERMINAL SERVICES CHARGES

Payable if embarking and/or disembarking passengers are using any of the International Terminal Passenger only facilities.

Rates for Non scheduled Flights:

- * With airbridge or transfer bus use \$15.00 for each embarking and each disembarking passenger
- Without airbridge or transfer bus use \$8.50 for each embarking and each disembarking passenger

Rates for Scheduled Flights:

* for airlines party to the Terminal Services Charges Agreement, rates as specified in the Agreement

NOTES

- (i) MCTOW means Maximum Certified Take Off Weight.
- (ii) All above charges are subject to 12.5% Goods and Services Tax which can be claimed back from the Inland Revenue Department if the operator is locally registered for that tax.
- (iii) The charges are set in accordance with section 4(2)(a) of the Airport Authorities Act and may be varied from time to time. The charges stated in section one of this schedule are valid for landings between 1/9/2000 and 31/8/2001. The charges will increase by 5% for landings between 1/9/2001 to 31/8/2002. That charges as at 31/8/2002 will subsequently be increased by 5% from 1/9/2002.
- (iv) The charges are applicable to all Domestic and International flights (parking charges apply to non-scheduled flights only) and are totally separate from charges made by Airways Corporation of NZ Ltd for aircraft traffic control.
- (v) All charges are payable by 20th of the following month except charges for non-scheduled International Flights which are payable on Landing (including parking charges for estimated time of parking) unless other arrangements have been made.
- (vi) Aircraft include helicopters.

AIRCRAFT CHARGES SCHEDULE

Effective from 1 July 2000

LANDING AND APRON CHARGE

Aircraft Weight Band

Above 30 tonnes	12.59	\$/tonne
2-30 tonnes	6.17	\$/tonne
Less than 2 tonnes	12.50	\$/landing

A minimum charge of \$25.00 per operator per month will apply.

PARKING CHARGE (ITINERANT FLIGHTS ONLY)

(Applies to aircraft greater than 6 tonne MCTOW)

- (i) Parking up to 6 hours No Charge
- (ii) Parking between 6 hours and 5 days \$75.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from time of landing.
- (iii) Parking between 6 and 10 days \$100.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from day 6 to day 10.
- (iv) Parking in excess of 10 days \$150.00 for every 24 hour period or part period from day 10 onwards.

TERMINAL CHARGE

(Aircraft using Domestic or International Terminal)

Domestic	0.40	\$/seat
International	5.90	\$/seat

Notes.

- 1. All charges will be recovered on a per landing basis.
- 2. \$\text{\$\text{tonne}}\text{ is based on the maximum certified take-off weight (MCTOW) of an aircraft.
- 3. \$/seat is based on the maximum passenger capacity of an aircraft as normally configured.
- 4. All charges exclude GST.
- 5. All aircraft less than 2 tonnes are charged one fee per landing only.
- 6. A minimum charge of \$25.00 per operator per month will apply.
- 7. GA aircraft will only be charged for a single landing, for touchdown/take-off practices carried out for training purposes.
- 8. Helicopter charges are only to be collected from revenued flights (ie emergency based flights will not be charged).

SCHEDULE OF AIRCRAFT CHARGES

Effective from 1 January 2001

LANDING CHARGE

Aircraft Weight Band

Above 30 tonnes 6-30 tonnes 3-6 tonnes Less than 3 tonnes	7.14 7.76 4.00 6.00	\$/tonne \$/tonne \$/tonne
TERMINAL CHARGE		

Qantas NZ	1.05	\$/seat
Domestic	1.62	\$/seat
International	3.39	\$/seat

Note:

- 1. All charges will be recovered on a per landing basis.
- \$/tonne is based on the maximum certified take-off weight (MCTOW) of an aircraft. 2.
- \$/seat is based on the maximum passenger capacity of an aircraft as normally configured. 3.
- All charges are subject to Goods and Services Tax at the rate of 12.5%. 4.

SCHEDULE OF INTERNATIONAL PASSENGER DEPARTURE CHARGES (AIRPORT DEVELOPMENT CHARGES)

AUCKLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LIMITED

Effective from 1 October 2000

Adult	22.00	\$/person
Child under 12 years of age	0.00	\$/person

WELLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LIMITED

Effective from 1 January 1998

Adult	25.00	\$/person
Child 2-12 years of age	10.00	\$/person
Child under 2 years of age	0.00	\$/person

CHRISTCHURCH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LIMITED

Effective from 1 January 1997

Adult	25.00	\$/person
Child under 12 years of age	0.00	\$/person

Note:

- 1. These charges are levied directly on passengers that depart the airports on an international flight.
- 2. Of the charge collected at each airport, \$5 per passenger is paid to the Crown for the provision of civil aviation services (\$4 aviation security charge and \$1 civil aviation levy). The \$5 levy applies to all international departing passengers 2 years of age and over. Both AIAL and CIAL absorb the levy for passengers under 12 years of age.
- 3. Transit passengers are exempt from the charges.
- 4. The charges include Goods and Services Tax at the rate of 12.5%.

APPENDIX 3SCHEDULES OF AIRPORT ACTIVITIES

AUCKLAND INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LIMITED (AIAL) IDENTIFIED AND OTHER AIRPORT ACTIVITIES

AIRFIELD ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) to enable the landing and take-off of aircraft; and includes-

- (a) The provision of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft
 - (ii) Facilities and services for air traffic and parking apron control
 - (iii) Airfield and associated lighting
 - (iv) Services to maintain and repair airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft
 - (v) Rescue, fire, safety, and environmental hazard control services
 - (vi) Airfield supervisory and security services
- (b) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide airfield activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime).

Activity	Undertaken by AIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by AIAL	Revenue derived by AIAL
Airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft	Most.	Airways own and maintain runway and taxiway paint markings.	Land and land improvements (including drainage storm water, roads and other infrastructure – both airside and some apportionment for landside) associated with the main runway, taxiways, international apron, domestic apron, grassed areas and roads within the airfield or otherwise supporting it.	Aircraft landing charges. Sundry income from hay sales.
Facilities and services for air traffic control	AIAL leases land to Airways.	Provided by Airways, who own the Control Tower building. Also own, operate and maintain navigational equipment.	Land on which Airways' Control Tower sits.	Rent from land leased to Airways.
Facilities and services for parking apron control	AIAL provides apron control service at the international terminal apron.	Air NZ, Qantas NZ and Eagle Air provide apron control at the domestic apron on behalf of AIAL.	Land and buildings for the International Apron Tower, together with land for the Domestic Apron.	Terminal Services Charge (TSC).
Airfield associated lighting	AIAL has apron lights only.	Airways owns cables and light fittings for main taxiway and runway. It operates and maintains this airfield lighting as well as AIAL's assets.	Cable ducts and light pots for entire airfield; cabling for light fittings for aprons and first taxiway.	Aircraft landing charges.
Services to maintain and repair airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft	All.	None.	Runway maintenance equipment.	Aircraft landing charges.

Activity	Undertaken by AIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by AIAL	Revenue derived by AIAL
Rescue, fire, safety, and environmental hazard control	All.	Airport Noise Committee.	Land and buildings associated with the rescue fire service (Public	Rescue fire component of aircraft landing charges.
services			Safety Response) as well as vehicles.	
Airfield supervisory and security services	AIAL provides and maintains security fencing and leases space to Aviation Security Service (AVSEC).	AVSEC provides airside security, security between airside and landside, International passenger control, and perimeter patrols.	Security fencing and office space leased to AVSEC.	Rental from ground lease to AVSEC.
Facilities/assets held for future activities	Holding of land.	None.	Land held for the second runway.	Rental from land held for second runway.

AIRCRAFT AND FREIGHT ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) to enable, within a security area or areas of the relevant airport, the servicing and maintenance of aircraft and the handling of freight transported, or to be transported, by aircraft; and includes-

- (a) The provision within any security area or areas of the relevant airport, of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Hangars
 - (ii) Facilities and services for refuelling of aircraft, flight catering, and waste disposal
 - (iii) Facilities and services for the storing of freight
 - (iv) Security, customs and quarantine services for freight
- (b) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide aircraft and freight activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime).

Activity	Undertaken by AIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by AIAL	Revenue derived by AIAL
Hangars	AIAL leases land to some parties	Air NZ, Great Barrier, St Johns	Land on which hangars are situated.	Ground and/or building rental.
	with hangars.	Ambulance, Airworks, Skycare, NZ		
		Post etc.		
Facilities and services for refuelling	Provision of pipeline and access to	Refuelling undertaken by fuel	Pipeline running onto the	Charge for use of pipeline and
of aircraft	the airfield.	companies.	international apron.	access to airfield.
Facilities and services for flight	AIAL provides access to the	Provided by PRI (Pacfic) Flight	Land leases.	Rent.
catering	airfield.	Catering and		
		Caterair directly to airlines.		
Facilities and services for waste	Provision of bins for collection of	Collection and removal of waste	Rubbish bins. Owns and operates	Incineration fees for airside and
disposal	rubbish around the airport and	through AIAL agent Onyx and third	on-airport quarantine incineration	landside waste.
	terminals.	party carriers.	facility and honeypot.	
	Owns and operates the quarantine			
	waste disposal and honeypot facility			
	at the airport.			
Facilities and services for the	Freight buildings leased to Air NZ	Air NZ (container park) and Qantas	Land and buildings, namely	Rent.
storing of freight	and Qantas NZ.	NZ (domestic).	container park adjacent to	ļ
			international apron and freight	
			building at domestic.	

Activity	Undertaken by AIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by AIAL	Revenue derived by AIAL
Security services for freight	None.	Airside security provided by	None.	None.
		AVSEC and airport security		
		provided by NZ Police.		
Customs services for freight	None.	Provided by NZ Customs.	None.	None.
Quarantine services for freight	None.	Provided by MAF.	None.	None.
Facilities/assets held for future	Holding of land.	None.	Land.	Rent.
activities				
Other				
(1) Stock handling	AIAL provides land where stock	Airlines or freight operators handle	Stock handling area.	Stock handling fees.
	can be handled.	stock.		
(2) Ground handling facilities	Land and buildings leased to	Undertaken by Air NZ, Qantas NZ,	Land and buildings.	Rent.
	ground handling operators.	Sky Care and Ogden Aviation		
		Services. Each own their own		
		tarmac equipment. Ogdens own		
		their building.		

SPECIFIED PASSENGER TERMINAL ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in relation to aircraft passengers while those passengers are in a security area or areas of the relevant airport; and includes-

- (a) The provision within a security area or areas of the relevant airport, of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Passenger seating areas, thoroughfares, and airbridges
 - (ii) Flight information and public address systems
 - (iii) Facilities and services for the operation of customs, immigration, and quarantine checks and control
 - (iv) Facilities for the collection of duty-free items
 - (v) Facilities and services for the operation of security and Police services
- (b) Any activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in a passenger terminal to enable the check-in of aircraft passengers, including services for baggage handling.
- (c) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide specified passenger terminal activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime); but does not include the provision of any space for retail activities.

Activity	Undertaken by AIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by AIAL	Revenue derived by AIAL
Passenger seating areas,	Provision of seating in gate lounges	None.	Seating in public areas of ITB and	For ITB - TSC and Airport
thoroughfares	and other public areas in ITB		Air NZ and Qantas NZ domestic	Development Charge (ADC); For
	(International Terminal Building)		terminals (DTBs). Space for airline	DTB – Rentals. Also rent from
	and in the DTBs		lounges.	airline lounge space.
Airbridges	Provided by AIAL at ITB.	Provided by Air NZ and Qantas NZ	Airbridges at ITB.	TSC and portion of ADC.
		at DTBs. Mobile stairs provided by		
		airlines or ground handling firms.		

Activity	Undertaken by AIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by AIAL	Revenue derived by AIAL
Flight information and public address systems	Provided by AIAL, except at DTBs.	Qantas NZ and Air NZ provide at DTBs.	FIDS and PA systems at ITB.	TSC and portion of ADC.
Facilities and services for the operation of customs	Office space leased to NZ Customs. AIAL provides public space in ITB.	Provided by NZ Customs.	Furniture and fittings in public areas of ITB, as well as office space.	Rent for office space, TSC and portion of ADC for public facilities.
Facilities and services for the operation of immigration	Office space leased to NZ Immigration. AIAL provides public space in ITB.	Provided by NZ Immigration.	Furniture and fittings in public areas of ITB, as well as office space.	Rent for office space, TSC and portion of ADC for public facilities.
Facilities and services for the operation of quarantine checks and control	Office space leased to MAF. AIAL provides public space in ITB.	Provided by MAF.	Furniture and fittings in public areas of ITB, as well as office space.	Rent for office space, TSC and portion of ADC for public facilities.
Facilities for the collection of duty- free items	Collection facility is operated by AIAL for off-airport and non-DFS/Regency sales.	DFS and Regency provide their own collection facilities.	Furniture and fittings and counter and storage space.	Licence fees.
Facilities and services for the operation of security	Space leased to AVSEC.	AVSEC provides security between airside and landside, and screening of hand baggage.	Space leased to AVSEC.	Rent for office space, TSC and portion of ADC for public facilities.
Facilities and services for the operation of Police services	Space leased to NZ Police.	Provided by NZ Police.	Space leased to NZ Police in ITB.	Rent for office space, TSC and portion of ADC for public facilities.
Passenger check-in areas	Space leased, and furniture and fittings provided, to airlines.	Check-in services provided by Airlines. Air NZ provide own furniture and fittings.	Check-in counters at ITB ground floor check-in for all airlines except Air NZ premier check-in	Counter rental and portions of both ADC and TSC.
Baggage Handling	Provision of fixed outbound and inbound baggage systems at the ITB.	Provided by Air NZ, Qantas NZ, Ogdens and Skycare. Air NZ and Qantas NZ own inbound and outbound baggage make-up systems at the DTBs.	ITB outbound baggage system (feeder conveyor and scales, collector conveyors, and sortation conveyors) and inbound luggage carousels; plus conveyers at DTBs. AIAL also provide airline lost baggage areas.	TSC and portions of ADC.
Facilities/assets held for future activities	Holding of land.	None.	Land.	Rent.

OTHER AIRPORT ACTIVITIES

The activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in addition to airfield activities, aircraft and freight activities, and specified passenger terminal activities (identified airport activities) defined in the Airport Authorities Amendment Act 1997. Other airport activities include the following (and facilities in connection with): utilities, roading, car parking, commercial property, office space, conference facilities, concessions, information, public space and facilities in terminals, and passenger vehicle operators.

Activity	Undertaken by AIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by AIAL	Revenue derived by AIAL
Utilities (electricity, telecommunications, water etc)	AIAL provides the infrastructure and also supplies some services. Owns and operates electricity network, providing access to retailers. Owns and operates standby generators in the case of an emergency or when there is a fault on supply or network.	Electricity retailers supply electricity to AIAL and other parties operating at airport. Landis and Staefa NZ manage environment at ITB. Gas by Contact.	Infrastructure, including stand-by generators, electricity network at airport (underground cable, power centres and building cabling) that connects with the network of Vector at the airport boundary.	Electricity retailers pay a tariff to use electricity network pursuant to use of systems agreements negotiated with AIAL.
Roading	Provision of roads within airport (on AIAL land).	Manukau City Council provides adjoining roads.	Roads.	Portion of ADC.
Car parking	AIAL provides some parking facilities.	Public and staff car parks are managed under contract by Parking Services International Limited. On and off airport carparks, Skycare, Skyway Garage, Koru Valet parking and other valet parking, local hotel parking and tenancy parking.	Land and parking facilities.	Public and staff parking charges and rent from leased car parks.
Commercial property portfolio	AIAL leases out land and buildings (offices and warehousing) landside to various aviation and non-aviation related businesses, in addition to space in terminal buildings.	Some sub-leasing.	Land and buildings.	Rent.
Food and beverages	50/50 Joint venture with HMSC Host and AIAL Limited at ITB.	HMSC Host and Kiwi Discovery.	ITB facilities.	Share of joint venture profits with HMSC Host in ITB.
Office space	Provide office space in terminal buildings.	None.	Terminal space.	Rent.
Conference facilities	Provision of media centre and other conference facilities for hire in the terminals.		Terminal space and facilities.	Rent.
Concessions	Offer concessions to third parties around and within the terminals for: Retail shops. Duty-free shops. Food and beverages. Rental cars. Banking and money exchange services.	Third parties operate concessions around and within the terminals.	Terminal space and facilities.	Rate for each concession is calculated on the basis of the greater of a minimum base rental amount and a percentage (e.g. 25%) of the concession's gross turnover i.e. pay base amount and where turnover exceeds a set level, pay a percentage of surplus to AIAL.

Activity	Undertaken by AIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by AIAL	Revenue derived by AIAL
Information	Customer service officers (red coats) and hospitality ambassadors (blue coats).	Some airlines have their own customer service desks.	Terminal space and furniture.	Portion of ADC.
Public space and facilities in terminals	All. Some are free services and others incur at a charge.	None.	Terminal space and facilities. In the ITB this includes a chapel, a theatrette, reading/writing areas, smoking lounges, first aid, children's play areas, nurseries, an exercycle, day rooms, and showers.	In transit area of ITB, day rooms are available for hire as well as showers, towels, soap, and hairdryers. Luggage storage is also available. Costs of free facilities recovered from portion of ADC.
Passenger vehicle operators	Provide facilities and space for taxis, buses, shuttle operators.	Bus, taxi and shuttle service operators.	Facilities and land for taxis, buses, shuttles, valet parking etc.	Licence fees and fees per pick-up.
Consultancy services	AIAL offers training, consultancy and project management on all airport disciplines.	None.	None.	Consultancy income.
Trolley Services.	Management contract to Smart Cart.	None.	Plant.	Portion of ADC.

WELLINGTON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LIMITED (WIAL) IDENTIFIED AND OTHER AIRPORT ACTIVITIES

AIRFIELD ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) to enable the landing and take-off of aircraft; and includes-

- (a) The provision of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft
 - (ii) Facilities and services for air traffic and parking apron control
 - (iii) Airfield and associated lighting
 - (iv) Services to maintain and repair airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft
 - (v) Rescue, fire, safety, and environmental hazard control services
 - (vi) Airfield supervisory and security services
- (b) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide airfield activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime).

Activity	Undertaken by WIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by WIAL	Revenue derived by WIAL
Airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft	All.	None.	Land and land improvements to runway, taxiways, aprons and grassed areas.	Landing charges (except rescue fire component).
Facilities and services for air traffic control	None.	Airways provide all air traffic control from an off-airport site.	None.	None.
Facilities and services for parking apron control	Partly provided by WIAL.	Undertaken by airlines.	Apron supervision vehicles.	None.
Airfield and associated lighting	Some facilities provided by WIAL.	Airways own all lighting and navigation aids.	WIAL owns stand lighting and Nose in Guidance units.	Component of landing charges.
Services to maintain and repair airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft	Contracted out by WIAL.	Major maintenance undertaken by outside contractors with supervision by airport.	None.	Component of landing charges.
Rescue, fire, safety, and environmental hazard control services	Provision of rescue fire service and airside services team. The airside services team monitor the safety of the apron, conduct runway checks, co-ordinate airside works, look after bird and hazard control, and monitor airside rules.	Noise Management Committee.	Land and buildings, vehicles and equipment, and noise monitoring system.	Rescue fire component of landing charges.
Airfield supervisory and security services	Provision and maintenance of security fencing, perimeter patrols, and management of systems.	AVSEC provides airside security, security between airside and landside, and international passenger screening.	Security fencing, access control system, and CCTV monitors.	Component of landing charges.
Facilities/assets held for future activities	Residential properties bordering airfield (for resource management).	None.	Residential properties bordering airfield.	Rent.

AIRCRAFT AND FREIGHT ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) to enable, within a security area or areas of the relevant airport, the servicing and maintenance of aircraft and the handling of freight transported, or to be transported, by aircraft; and includes-

- (a) The provision within any security area or areas of the relevant airport, of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Hangars
 - (ii) Facilities and services for refuelling of aircraft, flight catering, and waste disposal
 - (iii) Facilities and services for the storing of freight
 - (iv) Security, customs and quarantine services for freight
- (b) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide aircraft and freight activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime).

Activity	Undertaken by WIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by WIAL	Revenue derived by WIAL
Hangars	Provision of land and	Air NZ and GA hangers.	Rex hangar, Gibson hangar, and	Rent.
	buildings.		Westside 1 hangar.	
Facilities and services for refuelling	Provision of land and access	Mobil & BP have a joint facility (JUFF)	Land.	Rent.
of aircraft	to airfield.	with underground hydrants. Shell supplies		
		fuel by tanker or through JUFF.		
Facilities and services for flight	Provision of land and access	Provided by Air NZ flight Kitchen (on-	Land for Air NZ flight kitchen.	PRI pay access fee to get airside,
catering	to airfield.	airport) and PRI Flight catering (off-		Air NZ pay rent.
		airport).		
Facilities and services for waste	Provision of land.	Medical Waste provide facilities for	Effluent disposal facility for	None. Airlines pay for service
disposal		quarantine waste.	domestic operations.	direct.
Facilities and services for the	Provision of land.	Freight buildings provided by Air NZ,	Land for international cargo	Rent.
storing of freight		Qantas NZ, and NZ Post.	building.	
Security services for freight	Provision of terminal space.	Airside security provided by AVSEC and	Spaced leased to AVSEC and NZ	Rent.
		airport security provided by Police.	Police.	
Customs services for freight	Provision of terminal space.	Provided by NZ Customs.	Space leased to NZ Customs.	Rent.
Quarantine services for freight	Provision of terminal space.	Provided by MAF.	Space leased to MAF.	Rent.
Facilities/assets held for future	Land.	None.	Land.	None.
activities				
Other				
Ground handling	WIAL provides ground	Undertaken by Sky Care, Aviation Ground	Ground maintenance vehicles.	None.
	maintenance vehicles and	Services, Airlines, and Capital Jet		
	access to airfield.	Services who own their own mobile plant.		

SPECIFIED PASSENGER TERMINAL ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in relation to aircraft passengers while those passengers are in a security area or areas of the relevant airport; and includes-

- (a) The provision within a security area or areas of the relevant airport, of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Passenger seating areas, thoroughfares, and airbridges
 - (ii) Flight information and public address systems
 - (iii) Facilities and services for the operation of customs, immigration, and quarantine checks and control
 - (iv) Facilities for the collection of duty-free items
 - (v) Facilities and services for the operation of security and Police services
- (b) Any activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in a passenger terminal to enable the check-in of aircraft passengers, including services for baggage handling.
- (c) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide specified passenger terminal activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime); but does not include the provision of any space for retail activities.

Activity	Undertaken by WIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by WIAL	Revenue derived by WIAL
Passenger seating areas,	Provides public areas in terminal.	RNZAF and Aero Club.	Land and terminal building, plus	Terminal services charge (TSC) and
thoroughfares			furniture in common areas.	international passenger departure
				charge.
Airbridges	All.	None, although the airlines provide mobile stairs.	Airbridges.	TSC.
Flight information and public address systems	Information systems.	Airlines provide source data.	Public FIDS screens, hardware and software for terminal.	TSC.
Facilities and services for the operation of customs	Space leased to NZ Customs.	Provided by NZ Customs.	Statutory space.	Rent for sole use space.
Facilities and services for the operation of immigration			Statutory space.	
Facilities and services for the operation of quarantine checks and control	Space leased to MAF.	Provided by MAF.	Statutory space.	Rent for sole use space.
Facilities for the collection of duty- free items	Space leased for collection of duty-free.	Duty Free-Stores.	Terminal space.	Rent for sole use space.
Facilities and services for the operation of security	Space leased to AVSEC.	AVSEC provide security between airside and landside, and international passenger screening.	Common use space used for this purpose. Security cameras and access control system.	Rent for sole use space.
Facilities and services for the operation of Police services	Space leased to NZ Police.	Provided by NZ Police.	Terminal space.	Rent for sole use space.
Passenger check-in areas	Provide airline check-in areas.	Check-in services provided by Airlines.	Check-in counters.	Rent for check-in counters.
Baggage Handling	Provide baggage handling system.	Operated by airlines.	Baggage handling system.	
Facilities/assets held for future activities	Land.	None.	Land.	None.

OTHER AIRPORT ACTIVITIES

The activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in addition to airfield activities, aircraft and freight activities, and specified passenger terminal activities (identified airport activities) defined in the Airport Authorities Amendment Act 1997. Other airport activities include the following (and facilities in connection with): utilities, roading, car parking, commercial property, office space, conference facilities, concessions, information, public space and facilities in terminals, and passenger vehicle operators.

Activity	Undertaken by WIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by WIAL	Revenue derived by WIAL
Utilities (electricity,	WIAL provides some infrastructure	Wellington City Council owns	Some infrastructure and utility	Some electricity,
telecommunications, water etc)	and also supplies some services.	drainage and sewerage lines. HV	services. WIAL owns electricity	telecommunications and other
		network and gas lines owned	cables within the terminal building.	utility costs are on-charged to
		United Networks.		tenants. Some tenants also directly
				billed by suppliers at tenants option.
Roading	WIAL provides internal roads and	Wellington City Council provides	Roads.	Costs recovered from various
	road access links.	adjacent public roads.		airport activities.
Car parking	WIAL provides all parking facilities	Car parks are managed under	Land and parking facilities	Public and staff parking charges
	and at airport.	contract by Condrens Car Parks		(less costs to have operation
		International Limited.		contracted out).
Commercial property portfolio	WIAL leases out land and buildings	None.	Land and buildings.	Rent.
	landside to various aviation and			
	non-aviation related businesses.			
Office space	Provide office space in terminal	None.	Terminal space.	Rent.
	buildings.			
Conference facilities	Conference facilities for hire in	Some facilities provided by airlines	Terminal space and facilities.	Rent.
	terminal.	in club lounges.		
Concessions	Offer concessions to third parties	Third parties operate concessions	Terminal space and facilities.	Rate for each concession is
	around and within the terminals for	around and within the terminals.		calculated on the basis of the
	the following:			greater of a minimum base rental
	Retail shops.			amount and a percentage of the
	 Duty-free shops. 			concession's gross turnover i.e. pay
	 Food and beverages. 			base amount and where turnover
	Rental cars.			exceeds a set level, pay a
	Banking and money exchange			percentage of surplus turnover too.
	services.			
Information	Provide airport information desk	Some airlines have their own	Terminal space and furniture.	Costs recovered from specified
	and airport service officers who	customer service desks.		terminal activities.
	provide assistance and customer			
	service to airport users.			
Public space and facilities in	All. The terminal services team	None.	Terminal space and facilities.	Costs recovered from specified
terminals	maintain buildings, plant and			terminal activities.
	equipment. They also run the			
	operations centre and systems, co-			
	ordinating airport communications.			

Activity	Undertaken by WIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by WIAL	Revenue derived by WIAL
Passenger vehicle operators	Provide facilities and space for	Successful tenders operate pick-up	Facilities and land for taxis, buses,	Licence fees and fees per pick-up.
	taxis, buses, shuttles, valet parking	bus, taxi and shuttle services,	shuttles, valet parking etc.	
	etc; tendering out rights to operate	unlimited operators undertake drop-		
	some services.	off services. Airlines operate valet		
		parking services.		

CHRISTCHURCH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT LIMITED (CIAL) IDENTIFIED AND OTHER AIRPORT ACTIVITIES

AIRFIELD ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) to enable the landing and take-off of aircraft; and includes-

- (a) The provision of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft
 - (ii) Facilities and services for air traffic and parking apron control
 - (iii) Airfield and associated lighting
 - (iv) Services to maintain and repair airfields, runways, taxiways, and parking aprons for aircraft
 - (v) Rescue, fire, safety, and environmental hazard control services
 - (vi) Airfield supervisory and security services
- (b) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide airfield activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime).

Activity	Undertaken by CIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by CIAL	Revenue derived by CIAL
Airfields, runways, taxiways, and	All land and sealed surfaces except	Aprons provided by Air NZ, Qantas	All land and all sealed surfaces	Airfield component of airport
parking aprons for aircraft	those undertaken by third parties.	NZ, and NZ Post (part only).	except those undertaken by third	charge and rent.
			parties.	
Facilities and services for air traffic	Provision of Control Tower.	All air traffic control provided by	Airways office space and control	Rent.
control		Airways.	tower.	
Facilities and services parking	None.	Air NZ allocates gates for all flights	None.	None.
apron control		except Qantas NZ domestic.		
Airfield and associated lighting	Apron flood lighting.	Airfield lighting provided by	Apron flood lighting.	Recovered as part of the airfield
		Airways.		charges.
Services to maintain and repair	Grass moving, pavement sweeping,	Contractors used for major	Maintenance yard land, buildings	Recovered as part of the airfield
airfields, runways, taxiways, and	and patching. Other maintenance	maintenance e.g. resealing and	plant and machinery.	charges.
parking aprons for aircraft	contracted out. Provide 24 hour, 7	pavement rehabilitation		
	days a week maintenance service			
	for all airport facilities, grounds and			
	surfaces.			
Rescue, fire, safety, and	All.	None.	Land, buildings, equipment and	Rescue fire component of airport
environmental hazard control			vehicles relating to rescue fire	charge.
services			service.	
Airfield supervisory and security	Provision and maintenance of	AVSEC provides airside security,	Security fencing.	Recovered as part of the airfield
services	security fencing and perimeter	security between airside and		charges.
	patrols.	landside, and international		
		passenger control.		
Facilities/assets held for future	Holding of Land.	None.	Land.	Rent.
activities				

AIRCRAFT AND FREIGHT ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) to enable, within a security area or areas of the relevant airport, the servicing and maintenance of aircraft and the handling of freight transported, or to be transported, by aircraft; and includes-

- (a) The provision within any security area or areas of the relevant airport, of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Hangars
 - (ii) Facilities and services for refuelling of aircraft, flight catering, and waste disposal
 - (iii) Facilities and services for the storing of freight
 - (iv) Security, customs and quarantine services for freight
- (b) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide aircraft and freight activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime).

Activity	Undertaken by CIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by CIAL	Revenue derived by CIAL
Hangars	Qantas NZ Heavy Maintenance	Hangers are provided by Air NZ	Qantas NZ Heavy Maintenance	Rent.
	Hanger No. 2 and land for other	and Qantas NZ. US National Guard	Hanger No. 2 and land for other	
	hangars.	also own their own hangers.	hangars.	
Facilities and services for refuelling	Land.	Oil companies own all refuelling	Land.	Rent.
of aircraft		facilities including pipes and other		
		fixtures.		
Facilities and services for flight	Provide Air NZ Flight Kitchen	Air NZ and Qantas NZ provide	Air NZ Flight Kitchen on Wairaki	Rent.
catering	facilities on Wairaki Road.	their own catering services.	Road.	
Facilities and services for waste	Waste Disposal Facility.	Waste Disposal contracted out to	Waste Disposal Facility.	Quarantine centre component of
disposal		Medical Waste Group.		airport charge.
Facilities and services for the	Land and landside freight	Airlines own some hangers and	Land and landside freight	Rent.
storing of freight	forwarding facilities leased to	some freight buildings.	forwarding facilities.	
	operators.		_	
Security services for freight	Space leased to AVSEC and NZ	Airside security provided by	Space leased to AVSEC and NZ	Rent.
	Police.	AVSEC and airport security	Police.	
		provided by Police.		
Customs services for freight	Space leased to NZ Customs.	Provided by NZ Customs.	Space occupied NZ Customs.	Rent.
Quarantine services for freight	Space leased to MAF.	Provided by MAF.	Space occupied by MAF.	Rent.
Facilities/assets held for future	Holding of Land.	None.	Land.	Rent.
activities				
Other				
Ground handling	CIAL provides access to airfield.	Undertaken by Airlines, who own mobile plant.		Airfield component of airport charge.

SPECIFIED PASSENGER TERMINAL ACTIVITIES

Means the activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in relation to aircraft passengers while those passengers are in a security area or areas of the relevant airport; and includes-

- (a) The provision within a security area or security areas of the relevant airport, of any one or more of the following:
 - (i) Passenger seating areas, thoroughfares, and airbridges
 - (ii) Flight information and public address systems
 - (iii) Facilities and services for the operation of customs, immigration, and quarantine checks and control
 - (iv) Facilities for the collection of duty-free items
 - (v) Facilities and services for the operation of security and Police services
- (b) Any activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in a passenger terminal to enable the check-in of aircraft passengers, including services for baggage handling.
- (c) The holding of any facilities and assets (including land) acquired or held to provide specified passenger terminal activities in the future (whether or not used for any other purpose in the meantime); but does not include the provision of any space for retail activities.

Activity	Undertaken by CIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by CIAL	Revenue derived by CIAL
Passenger seating areas,	Terminal buildings and	Qantas NZ own and manage the	Terminal buildings and	Terminal component of airport
thoroughfares	improvements apart from Qantas	their area of the terminal.	improvements apart from the	charge and rent for airline lounges.
	NZ terminal area.	Improvements and fit-outs in the	Qantas NZ terminal area, plus	
	Own and manage public areas,	lounges are owned and provided by	public areas, including seating.	
	including seating.	the airlines.		
Airbridges	International air-bridges.	Qantas NZ and Air NZ own and	International air-bridges.	Recovered as part of the terminal
		operate their respective air-bridges.		component of airport charge.
		International air-bridges operated		
		by Air NZ. Mobile stairs provided		
		by airlines.		
Flight information and public	Own and maintain the FIDS in the	Qantas NZ own and manage their	FIDS in the Air NZ part of the	Recovered as part of the terminal
address systems	Air NZ part of the domestic	own FIDS. Day-to-day operation of	domestic terminal and in	component of airport charge.
	terminal and in international	CIAL's system by airlines	international terminal.	
	terminal.			
Facilities and services for the	Space leased to NZ Customs.	Provided by NZ Customs.	Space occupied by NZ Customs.	Rent paid on offices plus costs of
operation of customs				arrivals and departures areas
				recovered as part of terminal
				component of airport charge.
Facilities and services for the	Space leased to Immigration.	Provided by Immigration.	Space occupied by Immigration.	Rent paid on offices plus costs of
operation of immigration				arrivals and departures areas
				recovered as part of terminal
				component of airport charge.
Facilities and services for the	Space leased to MAF.	Provided by MAF.	Space occupied by MAF	No rental paid on areas in arrivals
operation of quarantine checks and				hall or any office space. Costs
control				recovered as part of terminal
				component of airport charge.

Activity	Undertaken by CIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by CIAL	Revenue derived by CIAL
Facilities for the collection of duty-	Collection facility is operated by		Space occupied by collection point.	Charge to retailers using the
free items	CIAL for off-airport and non-DFS			service.
	Ltd sales.			
Facilities and services for the	Space leased to AVSEC.	AVSEC provide security between	Space occupied by AVSEC.	Rent.
operation of security		airside and landside, and		
		international passenger control.		
Facilities and services for the	Space leased to NZ Police.	Provided by NZ Police.	Space occupied by NZ Police.	Rent.
operation of Police services				
Passenger check-in	Provide airline check-in areas.	Check-in services provided by	Check-in counters.	Rent.
		Airlines.		
Baggage handling	Provide baggage handling system.	Operated by airlines.	Baggage handling system.	
Facilities/assets held for future	Holding of Land.	None.	Land.	Rent.
activities				

OTHER AIRPORT ACTIVITIES

The activities undertaken (including the facilities and services provided) in addition to airfield activities, aircraft and freight activities, and specified passenger terminal activities (identified airport activities) defined in the Airport Authorities Amendment Act 1997. Other airport activities include the following (and facilities in connection with): utilities, roading, car parking, commercial property, office space, conference facilities, concessions, information, public space and facilities in terminals, and passenger vehicle operators.

Activity	Undertaken by CIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by CIAL	Revenue derived by CIAL
Utilities (electricity,	CIAL supplies electricity to tenants	Orion owns external electricity	Some infrastructure and utility	Tenants who purchase electricity
telecommunications, water etc)	(both within terminal and elsewhere	network at airport (overhead and	services, including stand-by	from third parties pay a delivery
	at airport) at cost where they wish	underground power cables).	electricity generators and electrical	charge to access CIAL's "lines" in
	CIAL to. Generates electricity for	Electricity retailers supply some	cabling in buildings.	the terminal building. Electricity
	control period demand purposes to	tenants with power.		supplied at cost. Other utilities
	offset cost of imported energy.			charges include water and
	Provide water and sewerage.			sewerage.
Roading	CIAL provides internal roads and	Christchurch City Council provides	Roads.	Costs recovered from various
	road access links.	adjacent public roads.		airport activities.
Car parking	CIAL provides and operates all	None.	Land and parking facilities	Public and staff parking charges.
	parking facilities and at airport.			
Commercial property portfolio	CIAL leases out land and buildings	None.	Land and buildings.	Rent.
	landside to various aviation and			
	non-aviation related businesses.			
Office space	Provide office space in terminal	None.	Terminal space.	Rent.
	buildings.			
Conference facilities	Conference facilities for hire in		Terminal space and facilities.	Rent.
	terminal.			

Activity	Undertaken by CIAL	Undertaken by Third Party	Assets owned by CIAL	Revenue derived by CIAL
Concessions	Offer concessions to third parties around and within the terminals for the following: Retail shops. Duty-free shops. Food and beverages. Rental cars. Banking and money exchange services.	Third parties operate concessions around and within the terminals.	Terminal space and facilities.	Rate for each concession is calculated on the basis of the greater of a minimum base rental amount and a percentage of the concession's gross turnover i.e. pay base amount and where turnover exceeds a set level, pay a percentage of surplus turnover too.
Information	CIAL provides free customer services and travel and information centre.	Some airlines have their own customer service desks.	Terminal space and furniture.	Revenue
Public space and facilities in terminals	All.	None.	Terminal space and facilities.	Costs recovered from airlines.
Passenger vehicle operators	Provide facilities and space for taxis, buses, shuttles, valet parking etc; tendering out rights to operate some services.	Successful tenders operate pick-up bus, taxi and shuttle services, unlimited operators undertake drop- off services. Airlines operate valet parking services.	Facilities and land for taxis, buses, shuttles, valet parking etc.	Licence fees and fees per pick-up.
Sheep farm	Land held for development or in respect of noise control is farmed.	Farm is operated by CIAL.	Land.	Farm revenue.

APPENDIX 4

RECONCILIATION TO A99/1, 2 JULY 1999, CRITICAL ISSUES PAPER

Revisions and Cross-References to Questions

Question		Revisions (other than		stion Number	Revisions (other than
16/3/01	2/7/99	minor wording changes)	16/3/0		minor wording changes)
1	1	Question modified	55	37	None
2	2	None	56	38	None
3	3	Question modified	57 5 0	39	None
4	4	None	58	40	None
5	5	Question modified	59	41	None
6	7	Question modified	60	42	None
7	8	Question modified	61	43	None
8	n/a	New question	62	44	None
9	6	Question modified	63	45	None
10	9	Question modified	64	n/a	New question
11	10	Question modified	65	47	Question Modified
12	11	None	66	n/a	New question
13	n/a	New question	67	46	None
14	n/a	New question	68	48	Question modified
15	n/a	New question	69 70	49	None
16	12	Question modified	70	50	None
17	13	None	71	n/a	New question
18	14	None	72	n/a	New question
19	15	None	73	51	Question modified
20	16 17	None	74 75	52 53	Question modified
21 22	18	None None	75 76	53 54	Question modified
23	18 19	Question modified	76 77		Question modified
23 24	n/a	_	77	n/a 55	New question
	n/a n/a	New question	78 79	33	Question modified Question modified
25		New question		33 33	•
26 27	21 22	Question modified None	80 81	n/a	Question modified
28	23	None	82	n/a	New question New question
28 29	n/a	New question	83	11/a 56	Question modified
30	25	None	84	n/a	New question
31	26	None	85	n/a n/a	New question
32	27	None	86	n/a n/a	New question
33	n/a	New question	87	58	Question modified
34	n/a	New question	88	56	Question modified
35	24	Question modified	89	57	Question modified
36	n/a	New question	90	57 57	Question modified
37	28	Question modified	91	n/a	New question
38	28	Question modified	92	59	Question modified
39	29	None	93	n/a	New question
40	30	None	94	n/a	New question
41	31	Question modified	95	n/a	New question
42	32	Question modified)3	11/ 4	riew question
43	n/a	New question	Note		
44	n/a	New question	Note	•	
45	34	Question modified	1 .	100/ of the question	ons in the 2/7/99 paper remain
46	35	Question modified		•	t for minor wording changes).
47	36	None	,	inchanged (except	for millor wording changes).
48	n/a	New question			ons in the 2/7/99 paper have been
49	n/a	New question			(typically due to the addition of
50	n/a	New question			-questions), but the issues and
51	n/a	New question	(questions are esser	ntially unchanged.
52	n/a	New question	2 5	This nonar includ-	e 33 naw (570/ more) questions
53	n/a	New question			s 33 new (57% more) questions, not relate to new issues, but
54	n/a	New question			previous questions.
· .	22, 54		1	merery expand on	previous questions.

Cross-References to Paragraph Numbers

	Paragraph Number 16/3/01 2/7/99		Paragraph Number 16/3/01 2/7/99		Paragraph Number 16/3/01 2/7/99	
1	1	55	23	109	n/a	
2	2, 3	56	24	110	n/a	
3	n/a	57	25	111	n/a	
4	2	58	26	111	64	
5	n/a	59	27	113	63	
6	n/a	60	28	113	n/a	
7		61	28 29			
	n/a			115	n/a	
8	n/a	62	30	116	n/a	
9	n/a	63	n/a	117	65	
10	69, 70	64	31	118	n/a	
11	71	65	n/a	119	n/a	
12	71	66	n/a	120	n/a	
13	67	67	n/a	121	4	
14	68	68	33			
15	n/a	69	31			
16	n/a	70	32			
17	66	71	34			
18	n/a	72	35			
19	n/a	73	36			
20	n/a	74	n/a			
21	n/a	75	39			
22	n/a	76	40			
23	n/a	77	41			
24	n/a	78	42			
25	n/a	79	43			
26	n/a	80	n/a			
27	72	81	44			
28	5	82	45			
29	6	83	n/a			
30	10	84	46			
31	7	85	47			
32	n/a	86	48			
33	11	87	49			
34	8	88	50			
35	9	89	51			
36	n/a	90	n/a			
37	14	91	54			
38	14	92	55			
39	16	93	55, 56			
40	12	94	52			
41	13	95	57			
42	14	96	58			
43	17	97	59			
44	n/a	98	60			
45	18	99	n/a			
46	19	100	61			
47	n/a	101	62			
48	n/a	102	n/a			
49	n/a	103	n/a			
50	20, 21	103	62			
51	n/a	104	37			
52	22	106	38			
53	n/a	107	n/a			
54	n/a	107	n/a			
J -1	11/α	100	11/α	1		