## **BUDDLE** FINDLAY

6 November 2023

To

Registrar New Zealand Commerce Commission PO Box 2351 Wellington 6140

**From** 

Emily Tyler Anna Parker Susie Kilty

By Email

registrar@comcom.govt.nz

Dear Registrar

## Infant Nutrition Council Limited - submission on Addendum to Draft Determination

- We are writing on behalf of Infant Nutrition Council Limited (INC) to make a submission on the Commerce Commission's addendum to its draft determination on INC's application for authorisation for its members (current and future) to enter into and give effect to an arrangement under which INC Members restrict their advertising and marketing activities for infant formula products for infants up to 12 months old.
- 2. INC agrees that the revised proposed clause 6.6 set out in our letter to the Commission dated 27 October 2023 does not impact the Commission's draft determination that the proposed arrangement will result, or be likely to result, in such a benefit to the public that it should be permitted (as it does not materially impact the Commission's assessment of the public benefits and detriments of the proposed arrangement).
- 3. INC notes that:
  - (a) the revised proposed change makes the INC Code more consistent with both the WHO Code and Australia's MAIF Agreement, which do not limit donations of infant formula to times of emergency relief or poverty; and
  - (b) the INC Code relates to and binds manufacturers and marketers of infant formula, and does not relate to or impact health agencies and practitioners' compliance with the Ministry of Health's Position Statement: Infant Feeding in an Emergency for Babies Ages 0-12 Months (which is directed at agencies, health practitioners, and emergency responders involved in the emergency response and how they should manage donations of infant formula during the emergency).
- 4. The draft addendum states that the Commission proposes to grant an authorisation in respect of the specific articles within the Proposed 2023 Code that are the subject of INC's application (amended to included revised proposed clause 6.6). INC submits that it would be preferable for the authorisation to be expressed as being for the restrictions described in paragraph 4 of the application as embodied in Articles 5.1, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 6.5, 7.2 and 7.3 of the INC Code (and 6.6 if

## BUDDLEFINDLAY

the Commission characterises Article 6.6 as being a cartel provision), rather than the articles themselves. This would mean that minor changes that do not affect the nature of the restriction (for example, changing the term "mothers of infants" to "parents of infants") could be made without requiring authorisation.

5. Please let us know if you have any questions.

Yours sincerely



**Anna Parker** Special Counsel

DDI • 64 9 363 0639 M • 64 21 023 42750 anna.parker@buddlefindlay.com