
From:
Sent: Monday, 6 November 2023 10:27 am
To:
Cc:
Subject: RE: Request to prescribe additional SPOIs

Hi

Thank you for your email of 18 October and apologies for the delayed response.

We can confirm that we have no intention of creating new POI areas or extending current ones.

We believe some confusion has arisen as the result of the previous notice of additional POIs referred to existing POI areas as “Hamilton” and “New Plymouth” respectively. However we note that these POI areas were actually extended some time ago to include (for Hamilton) Cambridge, Tokoroa and Te Awamutu, and (for New Plymouth) Hawera. These changes were recognised by CIP shortly before the new regulatory framework came into effect.

We therefore agree that your table in the email below correctly reflects the relevant POI areas both for the existing POIs in those areas, and for the proposed new POIs which we are seeking to have recognised as POIs – except that for completeness we consider that the references to the POI Areas for Claudelands and Frankton should include Tokoroa. The table would then look like the below:

POI Identifier	Name	UFB geographic area (POI Area)	Region	Date prescribed
CLE	Claudelands	Hamilton, Tokoroa, Cambridge and Te Awamutu,	Waikato	
FJN	Frankton Exchange	Hamilton, Tokoroa, Cambridge and Te Awamutu	Waikato	19/12/2019
HN	Hamilton Exchange	Hamilton, Tokoroa, Cambridge and Te Awamutu	Waikato	19/12/2019
GLT	Gleniti	Timaru	Canterbury	
SWO	Spotswood	New Plymouth and Hawera	Taranaki	
NU	New Plymouth Exchange	New Plymouth and Hawera	Taranaki	19/12/2019

We look forward to the progress of this request.

Kind regards

From:

Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2023 1:49 PM

To:

Cc:

Subject: Request to prescribe additional SPOIs

Tēnā koe

Thank you for your change request to prescribe additional SPOIs of 3 October 2023.

We have two clarification questions relating to the POI Areas for the proposed SPOIs at Claudlands and Spotswood. The change request could be interpreted as new POI Areas with an overlap of existing POI Areas not an extension of existing POI Areas.

1. You state that Claudlands is for the UFB geographic area (POI Area) of Hamilton, Cambridge and Te Awamutu.
Do you intend to revise the POI Area for Frankton Exchange and Hamilton exchange (currently Hamilton) to be the same as proposed for Claudlands?
2. You state that Spotswood is for the UFB geographic area (POI Area) of New Plymouth and Hawera
Do you intend to revise the POI Area for New Plymouth Exchange (currently New Plymouth) to be the same as proposed for Spotswood?

We interpret this clarification would alter the request as follows for an extension of existing POI Areas;

POI Identifier	Name	UFB geographic area (POI Area)	Region	Date prescribed
CLE	Claudlands	Hamilton, Cambridge and Te Awamutu,	Waikato	
FJN	Frankton Exchange	Hamilton, Cambridge and Te Awamutu	Waikato	19/12/2019
HN	Hamilton Exchange	Hamilton, Cambridge and Te Awamutu	Waikato	19/12/2019
GLT	Gleniti	Timaru	Canterbury	
SWO	Spotswood	New Plymouth and Hawera	Taranaki	
NU	New Plymouth Exchange	New Plymouth and Hawera	Taranaki	19/12/2019

This would be consistent with the principle in our framework where there is more than one POI in a POI Area, all end-users in the POI Area must be accessible from each of the POIs.

Ngā mihi



Commerce Commission | *Te Komihana Tauhokohoko*
55 Shortland Street | PO Box 105–222 | Auckland 1143 | New Zealand

www.comcom.govt.nz

This email may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you have received this email in error please immediately notify the sender and delete the email, without using it in any way. The views presented in this email may not be those of the Commission.

The content of this email (including any attachments) is intended for the addressee only, is confidential and may be legally privileged. If you've received this email in error, you shouldn't read it - please contact me immediately, destroy it, and do not copy or use any of the content of this email . No confidentiality or privilege is waived or lost by any mis-transmission or error. This communication does not designate an information system for the purposes of Part 4 of the Contract and Commercial Law Act 2017. Although we have taken reasonable precautions to ensure no viruses are present in this email, we cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this email or its attachments.