

---

**From:** comcom@squiz.co.nz [comcom@squiz.co.nz]  
**Sent:** 2/12/2020 6:39:57 p.m.  
**To:** Feedback Aurora Plan [feedbackauroraplan@comcom.govt.nz]  
**Subject:** Feedback on Aurora investment plan

The following feedback has been received on the Aurora investment plan:

### **Introduction**

Please provide your email address if you want to be kept up to date with our assessment:

### **Revenue smoothing**

Please indicate whether you agree with our draft decision to apply Scenario 1 and describe what you see as the benefits to consumers of this scenario. If you instead prefer Scenario 2, please outline your reasons and describe what you see as the consumer benefits of deferring revenues, even if it means paying an interest cost later.:

### **Monitoring Aurora's delivery**

Would our proposals provide you with enough information to know whether Aurora is delivering its plan and improving its performance? If no, why not and what further or alternative information would you require to achieve this?:

### **Network outages**

We are interested in your view of the impacts of setting outage targets at this level, and whether you consider it to be reasonable given the state of Aurora's network.:

Request that Fernhill be removed from the grid that supports Glenorchy. When a powerline that feeds Glenorchy goes down, parts of Fernhill are cut off to enable repairs to occur.

### **Capital spending**

Do you think our approach to Aurora's growth projects is the right one, given the current uncertainty with electricity demand in Otago?:

### **Operating spending**

Do you think our assessment of Aurora's operating spending properly accounts for its capabilities and business costs?:

### **Further comments**

Is there anything else you want to bring to the Commission's attention?: