

The Qantas Air New Zealand Alliance

A conceptual & empirical assessment
Steven A. Morrison and Clifford Winston

Financial Success in the Airline Industry

- ◆ Low costs
- ◆ Excellent execution of service
- ◆ Innovation in operations and marketing

Financial Success in the Airline Industry

- ◆ No consistent source of rents
- ◆ Efforts to obtain market power do not lead to success
- ◆ There are major differences among carriers

Role of Mergers in Financial Performance

- ◆ All mergers are a risky investment
- ◆ Their prime motivation in the US is to acquire international routes and to relieve financial distress

Portion of merger activity attributable to key variables

Variable	1995
<i>Operational and financial considerations</i>	
Route density	2.8
Foreign routes	33.7
Aircraft type	1.8
Unions	1.7
Assets	28.1
Cash flow	3.7
<i>Anticompetitive influences</i>	
Price (revenue) increases	6.2
Common routes with fare wars	1.6
<i>Industry-wide variables</i>	
Interest rates (2 national carriers)	0.3
Interest rates (1 or more major carriers)	16.2
Predicted GDP	3.8

Effects of Airline Mergers

- ◆ Initial reduction in capacity replaced by low-cost carriers that place downward pressure on fares

AA & TWA	Elapsed quarters	Change in fares
	1	1.2%
	21	-2.9%
UA & NW	1	0.7%
	21	-1.7%

Effects of Airline Mergers

- ◆ Our retrospective assessments have tended to find reductions in fares, albeit with modest statistical reliability
- ◆ Mergers assessed:
 - NW and Republic
 - TWA and Ozark
 - US Air and Piedmont

An a Priori View of the QF-ANZ Alliance

- ◆ Its motivation is consistent with trying to ease financial distress as opposed to earning monopoly rents
- ◆ The Alliance faces potential entry from a low-cost carrier, Virgin Blue
- ◆ The Alliance could also face competition from other entrants (Emirates Air)

Key Empirical Issues: Virgin's Competitive Discipline & Entry Behaviour

- ◆ A fare equation for Australian routes indicates that Virgin's presence reduces Qantas' fares roughly 11%

Estimation
Results:
Fare Model

Variable	Coefficient	T-stat
Constant	-0.0859	-0.0357
Route distance	0.4231	20.3407
Product of O&D GDP	0.1064	0.9102
Carrier presence: Ansett	-0.0629	-6.5673
Carrier presence: VB	-0.1118	-4.1847

Comments

- ◆ Virgin has a bigger effect than Ansett on Qantas' fares even though Ansett had a bigger market share
- ◆ Price competition is inextricably linked with the provision of capacity (frequency)
- ◆ Competition supplied by low cost carriers often goes beyond competition on the route to include airport presence (potential entry) and presence on adjacent routes

Entry

- ◆ We also find that Virgin is not deterred from entering routes served by Qantas
 - in our sample, all routes they served were served by Qantas

VB Entry
Model

Variable	Coefficient	T-stat
Route distance	-0.000856	-1.5591
Carrier presence: Ansett	-2.578171	-1.7930
Carrier presence: Qantas	1.620614	1.5694
Product of O&D population	3.14E-7	1.0960

Final Comments

- ◆ Air NZ's persistent economic losses suggest it will not impose much competitive discipline on Qantas
- ◆ Why allow Air NZ to continue to lose money if its operations can be combined with a more stable carrier?
- ◆ There is little downside risk because of additional entrants in New Zealand