

14 June 2016

Hazel Burns
Senior Analyst Regulation Branch
Commerce Commission
PO Box 2351
Wellington 6140

Hazel.Burns@comcom.govt.nz

Dear Hazel,

This letter is to confirm BARNZ's previous informal advice that it withdraws the following submission made at page 14 of BARNZ's 21 August 2015 Submission on the Problem Definition Paper.

| Additional | The very general principles | The IM relating to asset allocations needs to be | IM |
|--------------|------------------------------|---|----|
| issue: asset | based IM for allocating | amended to more clearly require that where | |
| allocator | assets not directly | assets are used for the provision of both | |
| | attributable to the | regulated and unregulated activities, then those | |
| | regulated services is not | unregulated activities must be allocated a share | |
| | resulting in contestable | of the assets that reflects either the relative use | |
| | retail activities meeting an | made of the assets by the unregulated activity or | |
| | appropriate portion of | the relative benefit obtained (directly or | |
| | general circulation and | indirectly) by the unregulated activity from the | |
| | seating space, thus has not | joint utilisation of the asset. | |
| | been effective in limiting | | |
| | excessive profits from | | |
| | being able to be targeted. | | |

Yours sincerely,

Kristina Cooper Legal and Regulatory Manager