



s30R review of the UBA STD

Workshop presentation

Summary

- Trustpower would like to see:
 - Clarification that the regulated UBA service should evolve over time to meet the needs of end users
 - Clarification that VDSL falls within the regulated UBA service
 - Approval processes for adding, amending, and withdrawing UBA variants
 - Formation of working party



The Boost proposals

- Highlighted two main issues:
 - Industry disagreement as to the interpretation of the regulated UBA service
 - Unilateral process and short notice period for adding commercial variants



Regulated UBA service

- A full speed/full speed service
- Evolves over time to meet the needs of end users
- High quality layer 2 service enables product differentiation
- Regulated service should not become poor cousin to commercial services



Changes to the UBA STD

- Service evolution to meet the needs of end users
 - Do international best practice and good faith obligations already require this?
 - Confirm that VDSL falls under the regulated UBA service
 - Scope for working party to determine an appropriate service level or measure for end user experience



Changes to the UBA STD

- Process for adding, amending, or withdrawing new UBA variants
 - Clause 10:
 - » Application process for adding, amending or withdrawing new UBA variants
 - » Retain option to amend the UBA STD as a result of these processes



TCF working party

- Agree that a working party should be formed
- Keep review open until working party has concluded
- Take on board any recommendations, as well as dissenting opinions, before reaching final decision
- Commission involvement in working party





Thank you

